

UNEP Dams and Development Project
Draft Compendium on Relevant Practices

Compilation of comments received from the Steering Committee Editorial Group on 3 March 2007 on the revised and edited draft (rev.7, 7 February 2007) and action taken by DDP regarding their incorporation into the final document.

A) General comments

Submitted by	Comment	Action
NGO ADVOCACY	I'm disappointed to say that I feel that we've been badly let down by the supposedly professional editor.	The disappointment is discouraging given many efforts devoted by the DDP Secretariat and the consultant taking into account all comments and improving the text. These efforts have been recognised by the other three members of the editorial group. The discrediting of the consultant that dealt with the editing is not acceptable. Mr. John Dawson has a very strong background and proven record of expert and successful involvement in editorial tasks having contributed to important publications of many top level international organisations.
NGO ADVOCACY	The language is still turgid and in parts confusing.	Further efforts to improve language will be devoted when doing the final editing. The comments received have been substantive in helping to improve further the text beyond what has been achieved up to now as pointed out by the other three members of the group.
NGO ADVOCACY	In various places comments we had made which according to the DDP's matrix were taken account of, have not resulted in any clear change to the meaning of the text.	The alluded situations, if they happened, would certainly be very few. This has been improved when incorporating this new round of specific comments.
Industry	Throughout the text, I noted repeated reference to components of sustainability being sustainable (environmentally sustainable, socially sustainable..) Doesn't this undermine the understanding of sustainability? If this is not an issue shared by others, then don't worry about it	While the other members did not raise the issue the point is taken. Certainly such referencing seeks stressing the importance of giving due consideration to the component within the context of overall sustainability that involves a compromise solution between all three. While the intention is not undermining the understanding of sustainability, there might be a need for a clarification. We will submit it to the consideration of the professional editor.
Government	The text is a significant improvement compared with Rev. 0.5	Noted

B) Specific comments

Chapter	Section	Page/para	Submitted by	Comment	Action
Foreword		P.8 para.3	NGO ADVOCACY	The meaning of the last 3 sentences is confusing. It could mean that the question of how to decide whether to build a dam or something else is no longer key. It could also mean that when economic issues are considered in addition to soc/env issues that a dam will necessarily be the best option. We strongly disagree with both these propositions. It also mischaracterizes the dams debate, which has always been about not building the worst dams and making those which are built better. So we would like to delete the last 3 sentences (from "A narrower perspective . . ." to ". . . build good dams.")	The Foreword reflects DDP Secretariat views on the issue that have been expressed in various documents and presentations, including the 5 th DD Forum meeting. The proposition does not advocate that when economic issues are considered the dam will necessarily be the best option but just a valid option that competes with other alternatives. The text has been amended to better reflect these views.
		p.8 para.3	Research	Agree with the comments of NGO ADVOCACY that this para is confusing and slightly misleading	See above
		p.8, para.5	NGO ADVOCACY	Insert after 3 rd sentence: "Almost all the examples used in the Compendium are based on documents from public and private parties involved in dam development. No information was collected from dam-affected communities." (This limitation also needs to be acknowledged in the Executive Summary (p. 11, last para).	The limitations regarding available information and its assessment by stakeholders are dealt with in various sections of the publication, including the Foreword in its last para.
		p.8 para.7	NGO ADVOCACY	This para comprises a single (too long) sentence. I would suggest the following is slightly less long-winded and clearer: <i>The focus of this compendium is not on what should be done, but on what is being done, how and by whom. It is hoped that the value of the compendium will come from showing how managers around the world are adopting innovative approaches to deal with the challenges associated with dam planning and management.</i>	The sentence has been shortened and part of the proposed language adopted. However the reference to the expected impact has been retained.
		p.9 para.2	Research	In the final sentence replace "necessitate" with "justify"	Incorporated
Executive Summary		General	Research	This section could be greatly improved by tightening the text and increasing the similarity in style between	These comments have been passed to the

Chapter	Section	Page/para	Submitted by	Comment	Action
				<p>each sub-section. For example, each sub-section could start with “This chapter...” and could end with a simple clear and concise statement of the value of the approach to dam decision-making and some key message derived from the review.</p> <p>Being a researcher I of course applaud calls for more research. However, in at least two of the sub-sections (i.e. dealing with social aspects and compliance) ending the sub-section with a statement on the need for more research seems a bit weak.</p> <p>The different sub-sections make reference to the work done in different ways (i.e. “this chapter....” “the chapter.....”, “the review done for the Compendium....” “within this compendium...” etc. It would improve the uniformity of the text, if identical words were used throughout.</p>	consultant to take them into account when making the final editing of the text.
			Research	A definition of “normative framework” somewhere early in the text would be useful.	It will be added as suggested
		p.10 a line from bottom	Gov.	correct spelling of “document”	Done
		p.11 para.5	Gov.	Amend to read “managers, practitioners, affected communities and stakeholders	Done
		p.11 para 5	NGO ADVOCACY	change “mainly” to “partly” (some of these issues are decades old)	Done
			NGO ADVOCACY	Delete final sentence (“Despite the limitations . . .”). This is not the first time a significant amount of “practice” has been put together (eg WCD report and knowledge base has 1 or 2 orders of magnitude more descriptions of practices)	Some stakeholders requested DDP secretariat at the time of the 5 th DD Forum to highlight that the approach adopted collecting good examples of relevant practice on specific issues was an innovation that would be highlighted in the text. We have slightly amended the text to clarify that this kind of practice is referred to here.
		p.12 para.3	Research	In the first sentence replace “...ideally emerges...” with “...should emerge...”	Done
		p.12 para.3	Research	The use of the term “upstream in the planning process” is rather colloquial. I would replace this with “from the start of the planning process” . Similarly on	Done

Chapter	Section	Page/para	Submitted by	Comment	Action
				page 12, para 5.	
		p.12 para.4	Research	The final sentence is very long. Split into two: "...from certain challenges. These challenges include...." It is not at all clear what is meant by "controversies around the basic features of the description". Does this refer to differences and subjective perceptions, valuations and interpretations of "facts" pertaining to the project, by different stakeholders?	Done. The text has been amended to add clarity to the concept
		p.12 para.5	Research	Replace "Currently one common...." With "Currently one widely adopted...." Replace "... developed tools that are charting a new era..." with "... developed tools that can assist..."	Done
		p.12 para.3 line 5	Gov.	also replace "upstream" with "earlier"	Done
		p.12 para.4 line 2	Gov.	replace "placed" with "embedded"	Done
		p.12 para.6	Research	Remove "further" from the first sentence	Done
		p.12 para.7	Gov.	correct web reference to " www.unep.org/dams/ "	Done
		p.12 line 2 below	Gov.	Identification of Options: replace "upstream" with "early" (PS. Upstream is a term normally used in hydraulic flows)	Incorporated
		p.13	Research	The title of the sub-section "dealing with social aspects" would be better as "social impact assessment and addressing outstanding social issues" as it is in the box on page 11	As explained below we understand that it is preferably at this stage keep the title as agreed along the process
		p.13	Industry	Dealing with social aspects - first paragraph "Dealing with such legacy is imperative..... The imperativeness to resolve outstanding social issues to gain confidence of civil society is clearly important. But a proponent can plan, design and build a project with public support even if past outstanding issues in a country have not been fully resolved.	The comment is noted
		p.13 para.6	Research	without careful reading it is not clear to what "close linkage between both issues" refers	The text has been slightly amended seeking further clarity
		p.14 para.1	NGO ADVOCACY	Delete last 2 sentences ("Furthermore . . . draw"). We strongly disagree that SIA is now for the most part done to acceptable standards	The text has been amended to address this concern
		p.14 para.1	Research	I would agree with the NRI comment on social impact	The text has been amended

Chapter	Section	Page/para	Submitted by	Comment	Action
				assessments. My feeling is that while there are plenty of recommendations to do this and many governments pay lip service to the need for SIA, in many developing countries they are still not done adequately.	to address this concern
		p.15 para.3	Research	This would be a good concluding paragraph for this sub-section.	The para has been moved to the end of the section
		p.17 para.2	Research	The third sentence does not make sense.	The language has been reworked to add clarity
		p.17 para.4	Gov.	below heading of International policy concerning shared river basins, amend "introduces" to "introducing"	Done
1		p.18 para.1 line one	Gov.	Amend to read "assist decision makers, the <u>interested and affected</u> public and..."	Done
		p.18, para.4	NGO ADVOCACY	"preferred option AFTER A COMPREHENSIVE OPTIONS ASSESSMENT PROCESS"	Done
		p.18 para.5	NGO ADVOCACY	Delete last sentence on recognition of complementary roles.	The statement reflects views emanating from the WWF4 side event that have been already expressed in several DDP publications and reports that seem to have been well accepted by stakeholders,
		p.18 para.5	Industry	the quote from the WCD report of a statement about the economic performance of past dams and the use of the adjective "significant" was one of the most questioned by both govts and owners, especially relating to hydropower schemes. Is this going to open old wounds?	The amendment proposed by research addresses this concern as well
		p.18 para.5	Research	Split the second sentence in two. "At the same time... were excessive and/or avoidable. In some cases their economic performance was questionable (WCD, 2000)."	Done
		p.18 para.5	Research	Third sentence. Replace with: "Diverging views have arisen on the relative contribution of large dams to socio-economic development, in comparison to alternatives for providing water and energy"	Depending on the side of the dam debate each group places himself the merits of dams and the role of dams and their alternatives are challenged. The current sentence tries to reflect this situation. It has been retained

Chapter	Section	Page/para	Submitted by	Comment	Action
		p.18 para.5	Research	Not clear what is meant by “such roles are complementary”	The sense emerging from the DDP side event at the WWF4 was that alternatives do not exclude rather complement in terms of serving the needs (rural, urban, poor, small scale, large scale, centralized decentralized, on grid off grid) development) due to their different technical and operational features. These involves also non structural measures. DDP advocates that ideally as a result of an options assessment process it should emerge not just one alternative but a package of measure that can be considered optimal.
		p.18 para 6, 1 st sentence	NGO ADVOCACY	Delete “as part of the . . . Goals”	The linkage between infrastructure development and the achievement of the MDGS is consistently present explicit or implicitly in the outcomes of ministerial international meetings.
		p.19 para.6	Research	Remove the last sentence. “This compendium aims....”. This has been said plenty of times before.	Done
		p.19 Box 1.2.	NGO ADVOCACY	<i>Hydropower</i> : Delete last 2 sentences. Replace with “The IEA has projected that hydropower – including the rehabilitation of existing plants - may provide more than 9% of the growth in electricity demand by 2030.”	The text has been amended building on the projections available in the IEA 2006 World Energy Outlook. In fact this recent report presents revised projections that place even more emphasis on large hydropower as the expected response to cope with the increase in electric energy demand that former ones
		p.19 Box	NGO ADVOCACY	<i>Drinking water</i> . Delete last sentence. Replace with	The current text refers to

Chapter	Section	Page/para	Submitted by	Comment	Action
		1.2.		“The amount of water needed to meet the goal is only around 1% of existing large reservoir storage capacity. Meeting the goal will mostly be dependent on expanding existing supply systems and, in particular, improving decentralized systems in rural areas.”	sources of freshwater and suggests the need for development of additional storage (not necessarily dams) as a possibility. The proposed text starts comparing water needs to existing storage capacity that is illustrative and then produces an assertive statement regarding supply systems. The current text has been retained
		p.19 Box 1.2.	NGO ADVOCACY	<i>Flood and drought management:</i> Delete last sentence.	The text has been amended to de-emphasise the role of dams.
		p.20.	NGO ADVOCACY	Delete Figure 1.1. It is confusing and unnecessary. Among other problems, it makes it appear that large dams have only strengths and small ones only weaknesses. It also makes the strange claim that dams enhance ecosystems – as if natural riverine ecosystems somehow need to be enhanced by dams! And it includes recreation as a benefit without listing loss of recreation as a cost.	The figure will be improved in contents and layout taking into account the comments received.
		p.20 para.7	NGO ADVOCACY	Change 3 rd sentence to: “Water and energy services may be provided through a mix of options, large scale, small scale, supply side and demand side.”	The current sentence is consistent with the approach explained above regarding the complementarity of the various options. Please note that the text recognises that this might not be the situation in all cases
		p.20 para.7	NGO ADVOCACY	Last sentence should begin “A decision to build a dam SHOULD ONLY emerge after a . . . “	Done
		p.20 para.7	Research	Last sentence - add “should”: “A decision to build a dam should emerge....”	Done
		p.21	NGO ADVOCACY	Delete Figs. 1.3. These are at least as confusing as Fig. 1.1.	Done
		p.21 para.8	NGO ADVOCACY	Delete “When dams are identified outcomes.”	The statement has been amended.
		p.21 para.8	Research	Are multipurpose projects really more sustainable?	The statement has been

Chapter	Section	Page/para	Submitted by	Comment	Action
				What is the evidence? This statement should at the very least be supported with a reference.	amended.
		p.21 para.8	Research	Replace “.... and who are the beneficiaries and non-beneficiaries, will need to be taken into account to ensure a sustainable outcome.” with “... the beneficiaries and possible losers, need to be identified.” A statement needs to be included here stating that projects need to provide development opportunities for all, so that ultimately there should not be any “non-beneficiaries”.	Done
		p.22 para.9	Research	Final sentence - add “should”: “... infrastructure projects should focus on developing a comprehensive....”	Done
		p.22 box 1.3.	NGO ADVOCACY	Include WCD Recommendations as a “framework and instrument”	The box refers to post WCD developments by influential actors such as financiers and industry. The position of the box has been moved to appear after it is referenced in the text.
		p.22 box 1.3.	Research	Move Box 1.3 below where it is referred to in the text (i.e. below para 10)	Done
		p.22 para.11	Research	Delete the end of the last sentence: “... thereby contributing toand their alternatives.”	The alluded text provides a sense of objective and expected impact to the tool that seems relevant.
		p. 23 para.14	NGO ADVOCACY	As NGO ADVOCACY pointed out, there is no agreement that the national level is the most relevant for further discussions of the decision-making framework regarding dams. Various basin-wide, regional and multinational levels are equally relevant. The DDP's Compilation of Comments claims that this comment has been incorporated, but this is not the case.	A footnote has been added to reflect this comment
		p.23 para.14	Research	Modify the first sentence so it simply reads: “The WCD report prompted a wide range of reactions”.	Done
		p.23 para.15	Research	Final sentence. Replace “... through clarifying....” with “... by clarifying....”	Done
		p.24 para.19	NGO ADVOCACY	Delete 1 st sentence. (The aspiration . . . agenda.)	See response to similar comment on p.18 para 6, 1 st sentence above
		p.24 para.19	Research	Second sentence add “some” i.e. “... by the political leaders of some developing countries....”	Done

Chapter	Section	Page/para	Submitted by	Comment	Action
		p.24 para.21	NGO ADVOCACY	delete 1 st sentence (The core . . . development.) delete 3 rd sentence (As observed . . . characteristics.)	The contents of the entire paragraph have been extracted from the DDP Phase 2 Interim Report. Both sentences have been further referenced.
		p.24 para.21 line 4	Gov.	replace “upstream” with “early”	Done
		p.25 para.22	NGO ADVOCACY	Delete first sentence.	It has been amended to limit the statement to a majority of participants
		p.25 para.22	NGO ADVOCACY	Change 2 nd sentence to: “The DDP has repeatedly stressed the need to develop specific measures . . . outcomes.”	Done
		p.25 para.22	Research	First sentence. Remove “now” i.e. “. . . on whether or not to build dams to a more constructive discussion about. . . .”	Done
		p.25 para.22	Research	Final sentence remove final 3 words(i.e. on relevant practices)	Done
		p.25 para.23 last line	Gov.	replace “that” with “to”	Done
		p.25 para.23	Research	Second sentence. Replace “Therefore the compendium. . . .” with “It. . . .”	Done
		p.25 para.25	NGO ADVOCACY	delete 4 th sentence (“This approach . . . level.”)	This statement is central to the approach adopted trying to place the discussions on more concrete technical grounds.
		p.25 para.27	NGO ADVOCACY	2 nd sentence replace “often” with “partly”	Done
		p.25 para.27	NGO ADVOCACY	change 5 th sentence to “This particular society, criticizing the Compendium for ignoring the views of affected people.”	The text has been amended to take into account the comment.
		p.25 para.27 line 6	Gov.	replace “relevant” with “good” and “good” with “relevant”	Done
		p.25 para.27	Research	Third sentence. Remove “good” so it simply becomes “. . . relevant examples of practice. . . .”	Done as suggested in the previous comment.
		p.26 para.28 line 3	Gov.	amend to read “managers, interested and affected public and. . . .”	Done
		p.26	Research	Include a box that provides citation of each of the consultant reports.. titles author etc.	Done

Chapter	Section	Page/para	Submitted by	Comment	Action
2		p.27	Research	Summary – see comments made in relation to exec summary on “upstream” etc.	Done
		p.27	Research	In addition is “inventorying” English?	Practical Action has extensively adopted this wording in its report on Identification of Options. This is a U.K. based organisation.
		p.27 para.2	Gov.	replace “upstream” with “early”	Done
		p.27.para 3	Research	Also in third para inventorying of options <i>should</i> pay attention to and fourth sentence”each of the options <i>should</i> ...”	Done
		p. 28, para.1 (2nd sentence) and para.3 (last sentence)	NGO ADVOCACY	Replace "dams" with "water and energy projects"	Done in 1 st . para. We understand that the statement in para 3 refers specifically to dams once they are identified through the OA process.
		p.28 para.1 line 5	Gov.	amend <u>to</u> read “are not to be based”	Done
		p.28 para.1	Research	Delete this, it simply repeats what is said earlier	Some repetition, though with different language exists with the Summary but this is acceptable with summaries. The para has been retained since it provides and introductory statement to the issue.
		p.28 para.4	Research	Final sentence. Replace “... the options largely contributes to...” with “... the options contributes significantly to...”	Done
		p.29 para.5	Research	Final sentence Replace “will” with “should”	Done
		p.29 box 2.1	Research	Highlight heading for each options level by putting it in italics.	Done
		p.29 box 2.1	Research	First para final sentence. Replace “....identifying policy options is consultative....” with “....identifying policy options should be consultative....”	Done
		p.29 box 2.1	Research	Second para. This paragraph is written in very technical language that may not be comprehensible to readers not well versed in “hydro-speak”	This is in part the reason why this information was put in a box. Simplifying language when many technical matters have to be summarised in short

Chapter	Section	Page/para	Submitted by	Comment	Action
					space is challenging. It is supposed that managers in the water and energy field that are somewhat familiar with the terminology will mainly use this text.
		p.29 box 2.1	Research	Fourth para. There is currently some discussion on what decisions about water management are best made at the basin level and which are not. Therefore the first sentence needs to be supported with a reference.	The text has been amended to take the comment into account.
		p.30 para.8	Research	second sentence. Replace "might" with "can"	Done
		p.31 para.12	Research	Second bullet. Last sentence. Replace will with may: "the benefits from demand management <i>may</i>"	Done
		p.31 para.14	Research	Inventorying?	See response to comment on p.27 above.
		p.32 Box 2.2	Research	I don't see the relevance of the final paragraph. What is a conjoint choice analysis?	The paragraph highlights the mechanism used to capture the views of stakeholders. The appropriateness of the word conjoint (used by PA in its report) will be checked by the consultant.
		p.32 Box 2.3	Research	Despite the fact that by law the ecological reserve has higher priority than economic uses of water in the catchment, DWAF is in fact giving higher priority to those other uses and is "phasing in" the Reserve over time. It is also interesting that one justification for the large dam currently being built in the Olifants catchment is to help maintain the ecological reserve!	Noted
		p.33 para.16	Research	Second sentence. Replace "would" with "should".	Done
		p.33 para.18	Industry	First para it seems in the report that you can be a stakeholder and participate to the planning exercise if you "are committed to contributing to it" and on page 43 a list includes those "whose values and interests may cause them to care about an activity": this is not going to gain support as the best way of identifying key stakeholders.	The text has been amended to take the comments into account
		p.33 para.18	Research	First sentence. Replace "would" with "should".	Done
		p.33 para.18	Research	Final sentence. Not clear what is meant by ...source of motivation to participants. Need to give references	The text has been amended to clarify the concept

Chapter	Section	Page/para	Submitted by	Comment	Action
				to justify statements made in the two bullet points	
		p.34 Box 2.4	Research	This is supposed to be an example of how legislation enabled active participation by stakeholders. In fact this is contradicted by the final sentence of the first paragraph which says that it occurred before the New Water Act came into affect.	Our understanding is that the Berg project (formerly Skuifraam dam) was instrumental in providing input to the stakeholder participation elements of the New Water Act. This summary has been reviewed earlier by Mr. Croucamp.
		p.34 Box 2.4	Research	Remove reference to "at Goudini". Where the meeting was held is not relevant.	Done
		p.34 Box 2.5	NGO ADVOCACY	The Nepal ranking study was limited in its needs assessment, in that it did not cover the need for off-grid electrification, which is a primary need in a country where most rural areas are not connected to the grid. This limitation should be pointed out.	Reference to the shortcoming has been included in the text and a footnote has been added to reflect NGO ADVOCACY views.
		p.34 para.21	Research	What are ordinal and cardinal index? Either need to be explained or removed.	Removed
		p.34 Box 2.5	Research	Remove first paragraph. While interesting this is of no real relevance to the point being made. The options considered here are simply hydro options. An example that looked at a more diverse range of options would be interesting.	There was a request from the GACG that some contextual information about the projects be given to provide the reader with elements to assess better the nature of the example with a view to transferring the experience to other contexts.
		p.35 Box 2.5	Research	Second paragraph. This says that local communities were not consulted at the screening stage. While I understand the logic behind this, does it not contradict statements made elsewhere (even in this chapter) that stakeholders need to be consulted "upstream" (i.e. early) in the planning process? If this is not the case then a more nuanced statement needs to be made!	This is a shortcoming in this example and it has been highlighted as such.
		p.35 para.24	Research	Make reference back to box 2.3	Done
		p.35 para.25	Research	Comments on legislation in SA repeat what is said in para 24. I doubt if the legislation elsewhere has	The text has been amended accordingly.

Chapter	Section	Page/para	Submitted by	Comment	Action
				“ensured harmonization of demands....”. I suspect that this is an aspiration rather than what ahs actually come to pass.	
		p.35 para.26	Research	Fifth sentence. Replace “would” with “should”	As a general rule and in order that the text does not become prescriptive, the word “should” has been avoided. However most of those “should” suggested in these comments have been taken on board in opposition to the general rule, when the nature of the statement deal with some kind of widely agreed value or expectation. In this particular case we consider that the would should be retained.
		p.37 para.27	NGO ADVOCACY	This paragraph should be deleted. Even today, the World Bank and other multilateral development banks have no binding policies on the assessment of needs and options. Social and environmental assessments, even at the strategic level, do not meet the criteria of a good assessment defined in paragraph 9. Furthermore, needs and options assessment is the role of planners, and not of investors (such as IFC). The IFC assessments do not include any in-depth assessments of needs and options, and should certainly not be presented as "leading practice"	The text has been amended to capture the essence of the comment.
		p.37, box 2.6	NGO ADVOCACY	The NELSAP exercise focused on hydropower options, and did not treat non-conventional options and efficiency improvements in a balanced way. This is confirmed in the Conclusion, but should also be pointed out in the box.	A paragraph has been added from the report of the consultant that deals with the on-grid bias of the exercise.
		p.37, box 2.6	Research	This is an interesting case study. However, needs a brief statement on whether or not “non-conventional” options were considered and what has been follow-up since 2005, especially since a recommendation was for further study.	See response to the previous comment
		p.37 para.29	NGO ADVOCACY	Delete para 29. The discussion in the IHA Sustainability Guidelines on options assessment is, not surprisingly (any industry lobby group could be expected to do the same thing), biased toward	This judgment ultimately belongs to the reader. The Compendium is meant to inform on what is out there

Chapter	Section	Page/para	Submitted by	Comment	Action
				choosing hydropower options	in terms of current practice regarding identification of options.
		p.38 para.31	Research	Remove this paragraph. It is not needed.	The comment will be taken into account as part of the final editing
		p.38 para.32	Research	Second bullet. Not clear what this means.	The text has been amended seeking more clarity
		p.38 para.32	Research	Third bullet. The disparity in levels of information is not something that comes out at all in Box 2.6.	The addition of a text in the box highlighting the disparity of focus addresses this comment. See response to comments on p.37, box 2.6
		p.38 para.32	Research	Fourth bullet. Not sure that everyone would agree that current policies are inclined towards promoting environmentally friendly options. From reading Box 2.2. it is not clear how the EU directive is any friendly. This needs to be made clear.	The text has been amended taking in account this comment.
		p.39 box 2.7	NGO ADVOCACY	First Nations are not individual indigenous <i>residents</i> , but indigenous <i>peoples</i>	Done
		p.39 para.33 line 2	Gov.	"upstream" with "early" or "upfront"	Done
		p.39 para.33	Research	Bullet 3. Replace "earning" with "gaining"	Done
3		p.41	Research	Footnote 12. Remove this it is not needed.	Done
		p.41 para.1	Research	Remove this it is not needed.	Done
		p.42 para.4 & 5	NGO ADVOCACY	Need to clarify which 'core values' are being discussed	Done
		p.42 para.4	Research	The "public participation spectrum" is referred to. In the summary it was referred to as "spectrum of public participation". It would be better if a consistent form of words were used.	Done
		p.42 para.6	Research	Does the spectrum "recommend" or simply "describe" how participation can be effective at 5 different levels?	Amended
		p.42 para.6	Research	What is the definition of a "better decision".?	Text amended
		p.42 para.7	Research	Second sentence. Replace "is" with "are".	Done
		p.42 para.9	Research	Interestingly for the Ribble, "the public" is not explicitly included in the list of stakeholders.	Noted
		p. 43 para.8	NGO ADVOCACY	The principle of Free, Prior Informed Consent (FPIC) is an important concept of participation that has been acknowledged in many international norms. Examples include ILO Convention 169, the UNDP Policy on	It is done in other sections and in the reports of teh consultants. This para deals with identification of

Chapter	Section	Page/para	Submitted by	Comment	Action
				Indigenous Peoples, and the report of the World Bank's Extractive Industries Review. The WCD framework puts forward the principle of FPIC for indigenous peoples, and the principle of Demonstrable Public Acceptance for all other affected people. This needs to be recognized in of the Compendium	stakeholders.
		Table 3.2	Industry	Eastmain 1 it should be stated in the timing column that "long term commitment started at the beginning of the planning phase"	Done
		p.44 para.11	Research	First sentence. Replace "is to" with "should"	Done
		p.44 para.12	Research	Third sentence. Replace "will" with "should"	Done
		p.45 first line	Research	Replace "would" with "should".	Done
		p. 46 Eastmain box	NGO ADVOCACY	para 2, sentence 2: delete "sufficient" para 3, delete last sentence (how can project be termed a success when it has not yet been built??)	Done Text amended to eliminate the inconsistency
		p.47 para.19	Research	IAP2 acronym has not been spelt out	Done
		page 49 table 3.2	Gov.	In this and similar tables in other chapters some boxes are filled in blue or yellow. This is fine when colour printing and copying facilities are available. But when black and white printing/copying is done, it is impossible to differentiate. Could boxes rather be ticked (√) or marked by a double tick (√√) to overcome this problem.	This suggestion will be taken care as part of the lay out design.
		p.51 para.22	Research	First sentence. Remove "during the elaboration of the Compendium".	Done
		p. 52 box 3.5	NGO ADVOCACY	clarify (eg by using italics) which are "barrier" statements, and which are "responses"	Done
		p.52 box 3.5	Gov.	Would it not be better to arrange info in two columns i.e. for "Perceived barriers" and "Positive responses". Alternatively use different fonts to distinguish.	Done as suggested in the previous comment
		p.53 para.28	Research	First sentence. Remove "while elaborating the Compendium".	Done
		p.54 box 3.6	Research	Final sentence seems to be missing something... were identified as what?	The mechanisms were the ones identified.
		p.55	Research	The Davis and Rees citation appears to be missing information.	Taken into account
4			Research	See earlier comment on the title of this section	As explained below we prefer to retain the title of the section
		p. 57 para.3	NGO ADVOCACY	Delete "Furthermore . . . benefits.) Therefore," (This is a very sweeping claim to make from the small sample	The text has been amended to address this concern

Chapter	Section	Page/para	Submitted by	Comment	Action
				reviewed)	
		p.58	Research	Summary. Delete “found in the literature” from the sentence”appear to be the main approaches found in the literature to address”. Delete the sentence: “Policy and normative frameworks promote..... such as grievance mechanisms and remedy funds”	Done
		p.58 para.1	Research	Second sentence replaces “sets” with “provides” (i.e. It also provides....)	Done
		p. 58, para.1	NGO ADVOCACY	Delete “In the majority and consequently” (this is a political proposition wrongly stated as an assertion of fact)	The text has been amended to state that adversely affected people should be recognised.....
		p.58 para.1	Research	I am not sure about the final sentence. Although potentially adversely affected people should be recognized as “first among beneficiaries” it seems that this rarely happens, even in this day and age.	See response to the previous comment.
		p.58 para.2	Research	Remove this paragraph it is not needed	Done
		p.58 para.3	Research	Final sentence. Split this sentence into two. “However, a key gap that emerges.....that directly govern social impact assessment. In the majority of cases.....environmental impact assessment.”	Done
		p.58 para.4	Research	I would suggest that SIA is not limited to integrated environmental management, but rather should be a part of all natural resource management.	The current text has been retained.
		p.59 para.9	Research	Delete this paragraph it is not needed.	The first sentence has been deleted. The second provides the necessary reference to table 4.2
		p.59 para.10 line one	Gov.	amend to read “that <u>there</u> are a	Done
		p.61 box 4.1	Research	The fact that obtaining acceptance of all stakeholders of project outcomes is not the aim of public participation is an important fact that I think is not widely understood. This point should be highlighted somewhere in the main body of the text.	Public participation is defined in para 12 ut supra and further dealt with in chapter 3. It is there established clearly that the role of the mechanism i is not obtaining of the acceptance of all stakeholders. It is understood that the statement in the Box raises the issue and that it is better not to deal with it

Chapter	Section	Page/para	Submitted by	Comment	Action
					more extensively within the main text given that it will raise concerns of some stakeholder groups if not done thoroughly.
		p.62 table 4.2	Research	There does not seem to be any reference in the text to this table	See response to comment on p.59 para.9
		p.65 box 4.3 line 4	Gov.	capacity of only “350 m ³ ” does not seem correct.	Amended
		p.65 box 4.3	Research	Units given for the operating capacity are odd. Is it supposed to be 350m ³ s ⁻¹	Amended
		p.66 box 4.5	NGO ADVOCACY	Delete box (Contrary to the assertion in this box Kandadji dam has not yet been developed. The information in the box describes a normal project feasibility study with its normal pro-project bias. This appears to be more bad practice, than good or even “relevant” practice.	The text has been amended to reflect the planning stage of the project and that this stage provides an example of projection and estimation of social effects.
		p.66 para.25	Research	Second sentence should read: “.....leading to an assessment of <i>the</i> significance of....”	Done
		p.66 para.26	Research	Final sentence. Replace “will” with “should”	Done
		p.66 box 4.5	Research	Check the dam storage.. seems unrealistically low.	Amended
		p.66 box 4.5	Research	Spelling of include	Amended
		p.67 para.30	NGO ADVOCACY	Change start of 2 nd sentence to: “Many of these impacts can be managed via technical solutions, for example . . . “ last sentence change to: “Where displacement is agreed upon, careful attention . . . “ (displacement is <i>never</i> unavoidable – the no/other project option is always an option)	Done
		p.67 box 4.6	Research	Second sentence. Presumably Jana and Mielietuin are dams, but this needs to be made explicit.	Clarified
		p.68 box 4.7	NGO ADVOCACY	Delete first 3 sentences. Replace with: “Mitigation measures planned for the Upper Seti hydro dam in Nepal include resettlement and acquisition . . . project area.” (again this box wrongly gives the impression that the project is complete and the plans have actually worked)	The text has been amended to address the comment.
		p.69 para.36 last line	Gov.	insert brack “(” at start of line.	Done
		p.69 para.40	Research	Delete this sentence it is not needed.	Done
		p.70 para.41	Research	Why is it that normative framework specific to social impact assessment is required? It is not clear why, particularly in light of what is said in para 42, this	The text has been reworked address the issue raised.

Chapter	Section	Page/para	Submitted by	Comment	Action
				cannot be part of other normative frameworks.	
		p.71 para.49	Research	Last bullet. Remove this bullet it repeats exactly the end of para 42	Done
		p.71 para.52	NGO ADVOCACY	Delete 2 nd sentence (“Opportunities . . . measures”) and last sentence (“These actions . . . dams.”)	The language used is consistent with WCD propositions dealing with outstanding social issues in the context of the SP3 Addressing existing dams (e.g p229). Therefore it is not understood the reasoning underlying the request to delete this para.
		p.71 paras 53 & 54	Research	Much of these two paras can be deleted. It is not needed.	They have reworked into one paragraph keeping the elements of substance.
		p.71 para.55 first bullet	NGO ADVOCACY	replace with: “ongoing economic and social harm suffered by communities displaced by the project.”	Done
		p.72 para.56	NGO ADVOCACY	Delete para	This para reflects a definition of outstanding social issues developed by the consultant that seems quite comprehensive, that has not been challenged by other stakeholders.
		p.72 para.58	Research	Second sentence. Remove “...which seeks to inform about relevant practices...”	Done
		p73 box 4.9	Industry	It is said that the James Bay project has "hindered the free migration of geese and caribou" this is incorrect. Geese and caribou have lost some breeding grounds, but their migration patterns were not modified. It is also said that the Cree land rights were recognized in the James Bay agreement: In fact their land property rights were exchanged by the agreement It would be worth specifying the kind of land usage rights they have received: Category 1, 2, 3 lands. In previous comments, the correction had been requested of the value US\$ 234 million for CA\$ 225 million; the correction has not been done.	The text has been amended to incorporate these comments.
		p.73 para.61	Research	Reference to Pak Mun needs to be explained or	The paragraph contains

Chapter	Section	Page/para	Submitted by	Comment	Action
				removed.	references to various of the examples dealt with by the consultant in his report. The reference to Pak Mum should be assessed in this context.
		p.74 para.63	NGO ADVOCACY	Replace first sentence with: "In most cases failure to rehabilitate or improve livelihoods is the root . . . issues."	Done
		p.75 para.68	Industry	Restitution: When you read this para, you are given the impression that all dams will be decommissioned within a short time period. This impression is everywhere in the report. In line 11 it would be more accurate to state "eventually receiving the land back if/when the dam is decommissioned" a	Done
		p.75 para.69	Research	Sentence 3. Change this to: "Thus it should be considered an approach of last resort only implemented when other mechanisms have failed."	Done
		p.75 para.69	Research	Sentence 4. Remove "eventually" This sentence does not read well.	Done. The text has been reworked to improve clarity
		p.75 para.69	Research	Legal process is not a practical proposition in many developing countries.	Noted
		p.76 box 4.11	Research	Sentence 3. Replace "extended" with "extent"	Done
		p.76 box 4.11	Research	many readers will not know what is meant by "anadromous fish"	Noted
			Research	Having read this chapter and seen the way that it is structured into two main parts it is not really clear why this isn't treated as two separate chapters, as originally intended.	Though in the origin the DDP Secretariat proposed to deal with them separately, and in fact they were commissioned to different consultants, SC and GACG were in all meetings emphatic in the need of dealing with them together, as they did with Compensation Policy and Benefit Sharing. The current organisation of the Compendium responds to such approach and it would

Chapter	Section	Page/para	Submitted by	Comment	Action
					not be advisable nor possible to modify it at this stage.
5			NGO ADVOCACY	<p>It is positive that this section, unlike others, addresses the limits of available information at several instances. However, the section continues to ignore essential elements of good practice of compensation and benefit sharing, including the need for accurate baseline surveys, and the need to complete compensation and rehabilitation measures before project construction takes place.</p> <p>The section formally deals with compensation for and benefit-sharing with all people affected by dam projects. Yet in many instances, it implicitly equates project-affected people with displaced people. Dam-affected people include people who suffer from a loss of water due to water diversion for irrigation projects, people who suffer from coastal erosion due to reservoir sedimentation, people who suffer from a loss of freshwater and marine fisheries due to large dams etc. The inhabitants of the Indus delta have for example lost close to 10,000 square kilometers of fertile land to coastal erosion due to the construction of large dams, but have never been recognized as dam-affected by the government of Pakistan or the World Bank and have never been compensated. The Compendium needs to explicitly recognize that people can be negatively affected by large dams in many different ways, whether or not they are displaced, and that all these people need to be compensated and rehabilitated.</p>	The point is well taken. An attempt to take these comments on board has been done when dealing with the specific ones that follow.
		p.82	Research	Summary. Sentence 2. Replace “set” with “put”	Done
		p.83 para.1	Research	The first sentence makes it seem as if the only people negatively affected by dams are those that have to be resettled. There may negative impacts on others, including those living downstream, and this needs to be made clear.	Amended to address the concern
		p. 83 para.4	NGO ADVOCACY	As paragraph 24 indicates, the compensation frameworks of the WCD and of the World Bank Group differ widely. While both frameworks refer to improved livelihoods, the WCD offers a rights-based approach to guarantee that affected people regain or improve their livelihoods. In contrast, the World Bank policy	Noted

Chapter	Section	Page/para	Submitted by	Comment	Action
				only stipulates that affected people <i>be assisted</i> in their efforts to improve their livelihoods. The consequence is that displaced people usually lose out under the World Bank policy, and this difference needs to be spelled out.	
		p.83-85 paras. 4-10	Research	There is too much emphasis on displaced people and not others who may be adversely affected.	The text has been improved to take into account the comment
		p.85 para.10	Research	Add this to the end of Para 12.	The reference to the Figure has been relocated appropriately
		p.88 para.21	NGO ADVOCACY	As the Summary of this section emphasizes, it must be ensured that compensation and benefit-sharing actually benefit the people who are negatively affected by a dam project. Sharing equity with local authorities should not be considered an appropriate type of benefit sharing. Equity (like other benefits) needs to be shared with the affected people. For the same reason, the payment of taxes to local and regional governments maybe appropriate, but should not be considered a type of benefit sharing.	The introductory text has been amended to reflect that mechanisms should benefit the affected people.
		p.88 title 5.2	NGO ADVOCACY	The draft continues to confuse compensation and benefit sharing. Benefit sharing is a concept that needs to be additional to compensation. Compensation is a basic requirement which should not depend on whether a project generates any benefits. The policies which are covered in section 5.2. relate to compensation and not benefit sharing. The title should reflect this	An effort will be made to further clarify these issues. Particularly differentiating the so-called non-monetary benefit sharing measures from the standard in kind and cash compensation ones. The latter are not the focus of the chapter while the former are in addition to monetary benefit sharing schemes.
		p.89 para.24	Research	Some explanation of why these frameworks have been “called into question” is required.	Done
		p.92 para.30	NGO ADVOCACY	The paragraph on Chamera I should be dropped. The affected people only received cash compensation, and protested in hunger strikes against their plight. The assertion regarding community services are only based on claims by the developer, which has a very bad reputation regarding compensation. Reality checks on the ground demonstrate that in Indian dam projects, facilities such as hospitals often refuse to accept affected people, but the draft accepts the	Done

Chapter	Section	Page/para	Submitted by	Comment	Action
				assertion of the developer without any reality check. The inclusion of Chamera I in the Compendium would be an embarrassment for UNEP and the DDP, and the project should be dropped.	
		p.96 para.43	Research	Since it is a developing country example, a box providing details of the Lesotho fund for community development (and the problems encountered as referred to in para 36) would be useful.	The point is well taken. However the Columbia Basin Trust was selected and elaborated to exemplify the mechanism.
		p.97 box 5.7	Industry	last sentence, suggest the word "know" is replaced by "borrow"	Done
		p.98 box 5.9	Research	The fact that hydro revenues are a small % of total municipal revenues is repetitive. This had already been stated in para 35.	The statement has been eliminated in para 35 and a reference to Box 5.9 added to it.
		p.99 para.55	Research	Include a cross reference to the stakeholder participation chapter.	Done
		p.99 para.57 line 6	Gov.	Delete from "the other examples" until end of paragraph. This could be seen as criticism of listed projects and would contravene the criteria adopted for drafting the Compendium.	Done
		p.99 para.58	Research	Replace "will" with "should"	Done. The text has been improved
		p.100 para.59	Industry	the last sentence needs some modification to stick to the theme "One determining factor of success for equity partnership is long term PPA" Here it is equity partnership that is being discussed.	Done
		p.100 para.60	Gov.	Delete line 3 to 5 for same reason as recorded in 18 above	The para roughly describes the sources of information accessed
		p.101	NGO ADVOCACY	The bibliography should include the following essential book on dam-induced displacement: Thayer Scudder, The Future Of Large Dams: Dealing With Social, Environmental, Institutional and Political Costs, Earthscan 2005.	Added
6		p.103 Summary	Research	Summary. The text here (and elsewhere in this chapter) implies that social issues should continue to be dealt with within the context of environmental management plans. Doesn't this contradict chapter 4, which called for normative frameworks, exclusively for stakeholder impact assessment?	The statement was amended in chapter 4 to read that there is a need for normative frameworks to deal specifically with social impact assessment issues without prescribing that it should be a specific

Chapter	Section	Page/para	Submitted by	Comment	Action
					framework.
		p.103 para.14	Research	Are environmental flows not a mitigation measure?	Rigorously they are. However they are so substantial that it was considered convenient to highlight them as a separate issue.
		p.105 para.14	Industry	To list decommissioning as a core element of an EMP is not accepted practice. Most argue that there is no virtue to build a decommissioning plan half a century, to say the least, before that event will happen.	A footnote has been added reflecting these views of stakeholders of the industry group
		p.106 para.17	NGO ADVOCACY/Industry	example is no longer a decommissioning project.	Amended
		p.106 para.17 last line	Gov.	reference made to “decommissioning project” in Table 6.2 whilst heading of Table refers to a “dam seismic upgrade project”	Amended
		p.107 para.18	Research	I think a more widely used definition of an environmental flow is: <i>an allocation of water with a prescribed distribution in space and time, and of a specific quality, that is deliberately left in a river, or released into it, to manage river health and the integrity of ecosystems and communities sustained by river flows</i> (from Watson, P.L. 2006. Managing the River, as well as the Dam: Assessing Environmental Flow Requirements--Lessons Learned from the Lesotho Highlands Water Project. World Bank, Directions in Development Series).	Incorporated
		p.107 para.18	Research	It may be worth noting that many countries now have policies that recognize the need for environmental flows. For example Chapter 5 of the SADC Regional Water Policy highlights the need for environmental allocations: Policy Statement 5.1.2: <i>“Member States should, in their mechanisms for allocating water resources among many users, allocate sufficient water to maintain ecosystem integrity and biodiversity including marine and estuarine life.”</i>	Done
		p.108 box 6.1	Research	This requires a citation.	Boxes with summaries of examples do not require citation. They have been generally referred to the consultants' reports.
		p.108 box 6.2	Research	Presumably this example refers to the Working for Water program that is being conducted throughout	The example refers to the partnership between Berg

Chapter	Section	Page/para	Submitted by	Comment	Action
				South Africa and is not specific to this particular dam.	project and DWAF to act in the context of the program
		p.109 paras.25&26 Box 6.3	Research	This is more on resettlement, which ahs already been covered in detail in chapter 5. Since the text here does not deal specifically with environmental issues of resettlement, I think this text could be removed or somehow incorporated in chapter 5.	Briefly dealing with this important element of EMPs has been deemed important in this chapter. Cross-reference to Chapters 4 and 5 has been provided
		p.110 para.27	Research	This also seems to have been dealt with in earlier chapters and so could be removed.	Same response as to the previous comment.
		p.114 para.46	NGO ADVOCACY/Industry /government	example is no longer a decommissioning project.	Amended
		p.114 para.50 line 5:	Gov.	Meaning of "are in advance of" is not understood	The text has been improved
		p.118 para.59	Research	First sentence. Replace "became" with "has become"	Done
		p.118 para.59	Research	Second sentence. Replace "could" with "can".	Done
		p.120 para.63	Industry	last sentence EMS will not squeeze out EMPS the right verb is absorbing by or being part of the EMS	Done
		p.120 para.63 second bullet	Gov.	last sentence to be deleted due to judgmental nature	Text amended to address the concern.
		p.120 para.63 fourth bullet line 5	Gov. Research	delete "52"	Done
		p.121 para.65	Research	The ability of governments to implement sound environmental management practices would be enhanced if donor agencies harmonized procedures and developed a consistent framework for the evaluation, planning and management of the environmental and social impacts arising from development projects. I believe that this should be a recommendation of this chapter.	Noted
		p.121 para.65 first bullet line 3	Gov.	"allow their implementation from the preconstruction phase" is not so clear. Does it intend saying that "implementation could commence as early as during the preconstruction phase"	Done
7		p.124 para.2	Research	The end of this paragraph does not make sense.	The current text is correct

Chapter	Section	Page/para	Submitted by	Comment	Action
				Should "...and addressing corruption" be "...for addressing corruption?"	
		p.124	Research	Is "facilitative" good English? Should this be "measures that facilitate compliance"	The author uses extensively this wording which seems to be part of the accepted legal glossary of the issue
		p.124 para.3	Research	Remove "however" from "Such mechanisms may require more trust and some remain, <i>however</i> , underutilized)	Done
		p.124 last paragraph first line	Gov.	the phrase "facilitate and compel dams to comply" is a bit unusual! What can a dam do to comply? Owners/authorities need to ensure that projects involving dams comply. Please consider rephrasing. Similar use appears also in paragraph 2 on page 125 and paragraph 30 on page 135	Done
		p.125 p.3	Industry	The correct quotation is in chapter 5 "Klimpt J-E and others 2004-----" see page 101	The editing consultant has been asked to take care of it.
		p.125 footnote 54	Research	could be included simply as a citation in the main text.	No. It is an explanatory footnote
		p.132 Box 7.4	Research	This is an interesting case study. However, from the main text (para 16) I anticipated some description of how the trust fund was established and operated. This is lacking in the Box.	The box has been relocated and the reference properly incorporated into para 20 to which it refers to.
		p.133 para.20	Research	For adaptive management to be successful, adequate funds must be set aside for monitoring and evaluation. A cross reference to possible approaches for financing (e.g trust funds) given in the previous chapter would be useful.	A cross reference to para 16 has been added.
		p.135 bottom	Industry	The correct quotation is in chapter 5 "Klimpt J-E and others 2004-----" see page 101	The editing consultant has been asked to take care of it.
		p.136 para.37	Research	It is notable that nearly all the examples given in this chapter pertain to the USA. Inclusion of boxes with information from the Uganda and Chile case studies might be interesting	There are various cases of South Africa as well. The bias has been addressed in the text.
		p.137	Industry	The correct quotation is in chapter 5 "Klimpt J-E and others 2004-----" see page 101	The editing consultant has been asked to take care of it.
8			NGO ADVOCACY	In the selection and presentation of case studies, this section expresses a pervasive bias in favour of large dams. Unlike some of the international normative frameworks that it quotes, it considers any agreement	

Chapter	Section	Page/para	Submitted by	Comment	Action
				that allows the construction of large dams as positive, irrespective of their social and environmental impacts.	
		p.139 fig 8.1	Research	This should come after the reference to it in the text.	Amenede
		p.142 para.16	Research	Box 8.5 does not relate to the SADC protocol as indicted here.	The text in the box has been improved to ensure consistency with this para.
		p.143 table 8.2	Research	It may be worth including a reference to http://www.africanwaterlaw.org/ which contains a database of more than 150 transboundary water treaties for Africa.	Added
		p.143 table 8.2	Research	None of the project specific examples relate to Africa. An example that might be included is the Lesotho Highlands dam project, which related specifically to dam construction in Lesotho.	Added
		p.145 box 8.1	Research	My understanding is that China is not a member of the Mekong Commission, in part because it does not want constraints on its own dam building in the basin.	The four riparian states have been identified and text improved.
		p.145 box 8.2	NGO ADVOCACY	The Farakka Barrage impoverished millions of people in Bangladesh. The Ganges Treaty - and even more so, India's apparent lack of compliance with it - reflects the very unequal power relationship between India and Bangladesh. The lack of implementation of the Ganges Treaty and the impacts this has on downstream populations needs to be spelled out.	The summary only captures a few elements of the full example. However it reflects the lack of implementation of the treaty and, particularly, the unequal power relationships are addressed in the text. It cannot be any doubt that the consequences downstream were behind the political pressure exerted by the downstream country to arrive to an agreement. To any extent the reader will be able to access more detailed information in the consultant's report.
		p.145 para.21	Research	Third bullet. A bit more information needs to be given on the Gabcikovo-Nagymaros project for those not familiar with the issue (e.g. which countries, when it went to court and why).	Incorporated
		p.146 box 8.3	NGO ADVOCACY	The box should mention that the approach adopted in the Indus treaty - separating the Indus basin rather	A footnote has been added explaining that the

Chapter	Section	Page/para	Submitted by	Comment	Action
				than integrating the use of the basin - has had devastating social and environmental impacts on the downstream province of the Indus basin, Sindh. The lack of an integrated, basin-wide approach has led to the over-extraction of water, water-logging and salinization in large parts of the command area, the destruction of the downstream forests which depended on Indus streamflows, and the loss of large tracts of fertile land to coastal erosion.	approach taken at the time could not reflect the current approaches of IWRM in transboundary waters as advocated in Conclusions; the statement that a stakeholder of the NGO advocacy group highlighted that it resulted in devastating social and environmental impacts on downstream provinces of the Indus basin has been included.
		p.148 para.41 line 2	Gov.	amend to read "other areas such as regulation"	Done
		p.148 para.41	Research	Indeed an analysis transboundary water law in Africa illustrates that there has been a gradual evolution away from simple allocation to inclusion of concepts such as "equity" and sustainable development". (Lautze and Giordano, 2006. Transboundary water law in Africa: development, nature and geography. Natural Resources Journal)	Incorporated
		p.149 para.46	Research	Lautze and Kirshen examine how public health and smallholder livelihood concerns can be explicitly and quantitatively incorporated into dam planning and operations within large basins (i.e. the Nile and Senegal) (Lautze and Kirshen, 2006. Dams, health and livelihoods in Africa: lessons from the Senegal, suggestions for the Nile. Paper presented at conference: enhancing equitable livelihood benefits of dams using decision support systems. Nazareth, Ethiopia. 23-26 January 2006).	Incorporated
9		Page 153	Gov.	Please consider adding another conclusion to emphasize that in the dam industry trends are set by the application of approaches and methods that assist in ensuring the best outcomes in a particular set of circumstances. As our knowledge base increases, the adoption of more relevant practices can be accelerated by sharing success, and failure, with others. This Compendium contains a wealth of information that could be used to build capacity and an improved understanding of all the relevant issues	Added

Chapter	Section	Page/para	Submitted by	Comment	Action
				that need to be taken into account when developing and managing dams.	
		p.153 para.1 bullet1	Research	Remove “....and the respective outcomes” at the end of the first sentence. Remove “would” from the second sentence. Replace “would” with “should” in the last sentence.	Done
		p.153 para.1 bullet 2	Research	Modify final sentence to read “...takes account of the views and expectations of all stakeholders”	Done
		p.153 para.1 bullet 3	Research	Fourth sentence. Replace “could” with “should”. Fifth sentence – remove “will”. Final sentence remove “will” and replace “need” with “needs”	Done
			Research	There is a need for some sort of final paragraph to give a strong conclusion to the compendium. As it stands the end is weak.	The conclusion proposed by government has been incorporated as a final strong point