



**Governing Council  
of the United Nations  
Environment Programme**



Distr.  
GENERAL

UNEP/GC.22/INF/20/Rev.1  
30 January 2003

ENGLISH ONLY

---

**Twenty-second session of the Governing Council/  
Global Ministerial Environment Forum**  
Nairobi, 3-7 February 2003  
Item 4 (e) of the provisional agenda \*

**Policy issues: International environmental governance**

**INDICATIVE SCALE OF CONTRIBUTIONS  
(Pilot phase in 2003)**

**Note by the Executive Director**

**Summary**

The present note contains the main principles of the proposed indicative scale of contributions for a pilot phase in 2003, additional information on the experience of other United Nations organizations and the first reactions received from United Nations Member States. The tables showing the indicative scale of contributions for the Environment Fund of the United Nations Environment Programme are contained in annexes I and II to the document. The document has been revised to reflect additional responses received from Member States and contributions made recently for 2002. The text of the document has been issued without formal editing.

---

\* UNEP/GC.22/1.

K0360313 010203

For reasons of economy, this document is printed in a limited number. Delegates are kindly requested to bring their copies to meetings and not to request additional copies. Printed on 100 per cent recycled paper.

## Introduction

1. The Nairobi Declaration on the Role and Mandate of the United Nations Environment Programme (UNEP) adopted by the Governing Council at its nineteenth session in decision 19/1 of 7 February in 1997, stated that UNEP needed adequate, stable and predictable financial resources in order to operationalize its mandate. At the following two Council sessions, the urgent need to improve the financial situation of UNEP was reaffirmed. The resource mobilization strategy of UNEP was approved in 1991 at the twenty-first session of the Council. Further consultations continued within a process of discussing the principles of international environmental governance, and the Council, at its seventh special session/Global Ministerial Environment Forum held in Cartagena, Colombia, in February 2002, reconfirmed the need to strengthen UNEP. Governments in Cartagena expressed concern about the role of UNEP, which they saw as continuing to fall short of the expectations expressed in the Nairobi Declaration, primarily because UNEP remained hampered by insufficient and unpredictable resources.

2. The Governing Council/Global Ministerial Environment Forum emphasized in decision SS.VII/1 and its appendix containing the report of the Open-ended Intergovernmental Group of Ministers or Their Representatives on International Environmental Governance that all States Members of the United Nations should contribute financially to UNEP, and approved the application of an indicative scale of contributions. The relevant paragraphs of that report are reproduced in annex I to the present document for ease of reference. The Executive Director of UNEP was requested to notify all Member States of the proposed indicative scale of contributions and all countries were urged to inform UNEP whether or not they would use the proposed scale or choose another basis for their contributions. The Executive Director was requested to submit a report on the implementation of the scale to the special session of the Governing Council/Global Ministerial Environment Forum in 2004.

3. Following the decision taken at Cartagena, the Executive Director decided to launch a pilot phase of the indicative scale of contributions from 2003. He approached all United Nations Member States in September 2002, with a letter inviting Governments to consider the proposed individual scale for each country and inform UNEP of their decision by 1 December 2002. Annexes II and III to the present report contain tables presenting the indicative scale of contributions, with countries grouped alphabetically and by level of contribution, respectively. A pilot phase using the indicative scale will give UNEP the necessary experience in further improving stability and predictability in financing its programme and broadening the base of donors, as well as preparing a detailed report on the indicative scale of contributions for the Governing Council/Global Ministerial Environment Forum in 2004.

4. The indicative scale of contributions has been developed only for the Environment Fund, which is the most important component of the total financial framework of UNEP and is used for financing the programme of work approved by the Governing Council. The other four main sources of funds used for financing UNEP activities include the trust funds, trust fund support, counterpart/earmarked contributions and the United Nations regular budget. Voluntary payments to the Environment Fund, trust funds and counterpart contributions are paid directly by countries and other donors. The regular budget of the United Nations provides support to UNEP in line with General Assembly resolution 2997 (XXVII).

### I. FINANCIAL SITUATION OF UNEP IN 2000-2001 AND 2002-2003

5. In 2000, 71 countries pledged to the Environment Fund a total amount of approximately \$40.9 million. In 2001, the number of donor countries continued to grow and 80 countries pledged an increased amount of approximately \$44.1 million. That amount remained insufficient to achieve a target of \$119.2 million, which is the Environment Fund budget approved by the Governing Council for 2000-2001.

6. The total Environment Fund budget for the current biennium of 2002-2003 is approximately \$120 million. By December 2002, more than 70 countries had pledged approximately \$47.7 million for that year. It is estimated that more than 90 countries will make pledges for 2002, with a total amount close to \$48.4

million. More than 40 countries have increased their payments and pledges, and about 20 new donors have come forward, compared to the previous year of 2001.

7. For 2003 (the second year of the current biennium) a required annual income of \$60 million (100 per cent) has been used as a basis for developing the indicative scale of contributions. The proposed scale shows the level of invited individual contributions from countries as a percentage of total annual income of the Fund in United States currency, as requested in decision SS.VII/I.

## II. COMPARATIVE ANALYSIS OF SYSTEMS FOR ASSESSING CONTRIBUTIONS USED BY UNITED NATIONS ORGANIZATIONS AND THE ENVIRONMENTAL CONVENTIONS UNDER UNEP

8. The main difference between the indicative scale of contributions for UNEP and the scales used in the selected United Nations organizations and UNEP conventions is that contributions to the Environment Fund of UNEP remain voluntary and the payments to other organizations are assessed. Six United Nations and UNEP organizations and conventions were approached and provided the details of their contribution scales, as follows:

(a) United Nations specialized agencies and convention: World Meteorological Organization, World Health Organization, United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change;

(b) UNEP conventions: Convention on International Trade in Endangered Species of Wild Fauna and Flora; Convention on Biological Diversity; Basel Convention on the Control of Transboundary Movements of Hazardous Wastes and their Disposal.

9. The main outcome of the analysis is that all the above organizations are using the United Nations scale of assessments as the main principle and basis for calculating the level of contributions to their budgets. The number of member States differs from the maximum of 193 countries members of WHO, to a minimum of 46 countries that have ratified the Basel Convention. Nevertheless, in each case the organizations follow the principles of the United Nations scale of assessments by requesting the countries to pay very close to that scale. The deviations are minimal, although in each organization there are a few exceptions where some countries pay either much lower or well above the United Nations scale. If the number of member States in an organization is lower than that of the United Nations, then all have to share the burden and increase contributions proportionally in percentage terms. A similar action is taken when one or more of the major donors is not a member of an organization and others have to pick up the difference in percentage terms of the total budget. In such cases, the percentage contributions by the member States either increase proportionally using the United Nations scale as a basis, or a few countries may step in and take a greater share of financial responsibility.

## III. METHODOLOGY AND MAIN PRINCIPLES USED FOR DEVELOPING THE INDICATIVE SCALE OF CONTRIBUTIONS (PILOT PHASE IN 2003)

10. The methodology used for developing the proposed indicative scale of contributions was based on the following main considerations:

(a) To increase the level of annual contributions to \$60 million, which is equal to half of the Environment Fund budget approved by the Governing Council for the current biennium of 2002-2003;

(b) To broaden the donor base and invite all United Nations Member States to consider regular and adequate contributions to the Environment Fund;

(c) To maintain the level of high and adequate contributions by the present donor countries;

(d) To invite the main donor countries, which are currently paying below their previous high levels, to increase their contributions gradually.

11. Following recommendations by a majority of countries, UNEP decided not to apply the United Nations scale of assessments directly to all countries and considered each and every contribution individually, taking into account a whole variety of factors. These included the history of payments by countries to the Environment Fund, formal and informal indications made by the government authorities during the discussions on international environmental governance at the Governing Council/Global Ministerial Environment Forum in Cartagena and consultations in Nairobi and other capitals.

12. The following main principles have been used for developing the indicative scale of contributions for a pilot phase in 2003:

(a) Common but differentiated responsibilities and fair burden sharing. All payments to the Environment Fund remain voluntary. However, all Member States are encouraged to contribute either on the basis of the proposed indicative scale of contributions or on any other basis identified by a Member State;

(b) A minimum indicative rate of 0.001 per cent;

(c) A maximum indicative rate of 22 per cent, with a maximum indicative rate during the pilot phase of 16 per cent;

(d) A maximum indicative rate for the least developed countries of 0.01 per cent;

(e) Any Member State that is in a position to do so, is encouraged to increase its level of contributions over and above the level in the proposed indicative scale of contributions and thus improve the financial situation of UNEP;

(f) Use of the United Nations scale of assessments for 2003 as a guiding principle;

(g) Historical level of contributions.

13. The following conditions will have an impact on efforts to improve the financial situation of UNEP:

(a) All countries are encouraged not to decrease the contributions compared to their payments in 2000-2002;

(b) Those countries currently paying above the United Nations scale of assessments, are strongly encouraged to continue at least at the same high level of contributions;

(c) Countries which used to make much higher contributions in the past are encouraged to restore the level of payments and pay closer to the previous high level of contributions;

(d) All countries currently paying below the United Nations scale of assessments, are invited to increase their contributions gradually;

(e) Developed countries currently paying very much below the United Nations scale of assessments, are invited to increase their contributions substantially during the next three years (2003-2005), depending on the history of their contributions and levels of previous payments. Adequate payments by the biggest donor countries are crucial, as they constitute the bulk of UNEP's budget. At the next stage of increasing UNEP's budget, the same countries will be expected to further increase their payments;

(f) Countries with economies in transition currently paying below the United Nations scale of assessments are invited to gradually increase their contributions up to a level of about 80-90 per cent of the United Nations scale;

(g) Developing countries currently paying below the United Nations scale of assessments, or not paying, are invited to start/re-start their payments and pay at the level of about 20-50 per cent of the United Nations scale, depending on the history of their contributions and levels of previous payments;

(h) Developing countries which have not been contributing and are supposed to pay between 0.001 and 0.002 per cent according to the United Nations scale of assessments are invited to pay at these minimum levels equivalent to contributions of between \$600 and \$1,200.

#### IV. FIRST COMMENTS BY COUNTRIES ON A PILOT PHASE OF THE INDICATIVE SCALE OF CONTRIBUTIONS

14. By the end of January 2003, UNEP had received pledges and comments on the introduced pilot phase of the indicative scale of contributions from more than 80 countries. All countries that replied to the appeal by the Executive Director agreed with the need for predictable funding and supported the efforts by UNEP to improve the financial situation. Only one country informed the Executive Director that it would not be able to pledge for 2003 because a national budget for that year had already been approved by the time the indicative scale of contributions was proposed. One country informed UNEP that it would not be using the scale as a basis for determining its contribution to the Environment Fund and requested to be excluded from the scale, while confirming that it would continue contributing to the Environment Fund on a voluntary basis.

15. Approximately 60 countries confirmed their willingness to follow the scale by agreeing to pay either very close to the indicative scale of contributions or well above it. It is important to emphasize that these first positive responses to UNEP's initiative to introduce a pilot phase using the indicative scale of contributions came from the new donor countries, or countries which were not previously regular contributors to the Environment Fund. These include Burkina Faso, Croatia, Eritrea, Gambia, Latvia, Mozambique, Niger, Rwanda and Tonga. The major European donor countries, which are currently paying well above the UN scale of assessment, announced their willingness to maintain the high level of contributions to the Environment Fund. Five countries stated that they would try to match the scale without making immediate pledges. Eight countries have given their reasons for not increasing payments at this stage and three countries announced their increased pledges at a level below the indicative scale of contributions. Three countries re-confirmed their support to the Environment Fund but decided not to follow the ISC and did not make immediate pledges for 2003. Finally, many countries decided to increase their payments up to the level of the ISC or even above it starting already from 2002. These include such countries as Canada, Madagascar, Luxembourg, etc.

16. UNEP will continue analysing the feedback from all countries. The accumulated information will be used for developing the indicative scale of contributions for 2004-2005 and preparing a report to the special session of the Governing Council/Global Ministerial Environment Forum in 2004 on the implementation of decision SS.VII/I. The Governing Council/Global Ministerial Environment Forum in 2004 will review the effectiveness of the system and take a decision, as appropriate.

Annex I

EXTRACT FROM THE REPORT OF THE GOVERNING COUNCIL AT ITS SEVENTH SPECIAL SESSION (13-15 FEBRUARY 2002), CARTAGENA, COLOMBIA, DECISION SS.VII/1, APPENDIX, PARAGRAPHS 12-23

[...]

B. Strengthening the role and financial situation of UNEP

12. The Nairobi Declaration of 1997, which was endorsed by the United Nations General Assembly, established UNEP as the leading global environmental authority that sets the global environmental agenda, promotes the coherent implementation of the environmental dimension of sustainable development and serves as an authoritative advocate for the global environment. While UNEP is the centrepiece of the international community's efforts to safeguard the environment, its role continues to fall short of the expectations expressed in the Nairobi Declaration primarily because UNEP remains hampered by insufficient and unpredictable resources.

13. Given the major environmental challenges of the twenty-first century, one way to address discrepancies between commitments and action is to improve the financial situation of UNEP.

14. While commendable efforts have been made by the United Nations to fund some of the administrative costs of UNEP through its regular budget, this funding has been declining in terms of percentage of the total UNEP resources over the past years. Hence it is recommended that, in accordance with General Assembly resolution 2997 (XXVII), consideration be given by the United Nations General Assembly to making available from its regular budget the amount which is necessary to cover all administrative and management costs of UNEP. There is also an urgent need to improve the financial situation of UNEP's Environment Fund.

15. Several steps should be taken to address the overall financial situation of UNEP. These include:

(a) More predictable funding from all Member States of the United Nations and members of its specialized agencies;

(b) More efficient and effective use of available resources, including the possibility of utilizing external management review mechanisms, taking into account the recommendations of prior management reviews of UNEP;

(c) Strong focus on agreed priorities of UNEP and ongoing review of previous priorities;

(d) Greater mobilization of resources from the private sector and other major groups in accordance with applicable United Nations rules and procedures.

16. All Member States of the United Nations and members of its specialized agencies, taking into account their economic and social circumstances should contribute financially to UNEP. The financial contributions should be made to the Environment Fund to finance the activities of UNEP to enable it, inter alia, to implement the provisions and achieve the objectives of the Fund set forth in General Assembly resolution 2997 (XXVII). Resources mobilized from major groups should also finance activities for the implementation of the programme of work of the Environment Fund.

17. To broaden the base of contributions to, and to enhance predictability in the voluntary financing of the Environment Fund, there should be a voluntary indicative scale of contributions, to be developed specifically for UNEP's Environment Fund, taking into account, inter alia, the United Nations scale of assessments as well as the following:

- (a) A minimum indicative rate of 0.001 per cent;
- (b) A maximum indicative rate of 22 per cent;
- (c) A maximum indicative rate for the least developed countries of 0.01 per cent;
- (d) Economic and social circumstances of the Member States, in particular those of developing countries and countries with economies in transition;
- (e) Provisions to allow for any Member State, in a position to do so, to increase its level of contributions over and above its current level.

18. All contributions to the Fund remain voluntary and each State reserves the right to determine whether or not it wishes to contribute voluntarily to the Fund. However, all Member States, taking into account their economic and social circumstances, will be encouraged to contribute to the Environment Fund either on the basis of the indicative scale of contributions, or on the basis of any of the following:

- (a) Biennial pledges;
- (b) United Nations scale of assessments;
- (c) Historical level of contributions;
- (d) Any other basis identified by a Member State.

19. The Executive Director of UNEP will notify all Member States, in a timely manner, of the indicative scale of contributions he intends to propose for the biennial budget. All Member States are urged to inform the Executive Director, in a timely manner, whether or not they will use the proposed indicative scale of contributions. The biennial budget will be submitted for consideration of the Governing Council/Global Ministerial Environment Forum, prior to the commencement of the financial period that it covers. It will also be circulated to all Member States at least six weeks before the meeting of the Governing Council/Global Ministerial Environment Forum at which it will be considered.

20. The Executive Director will notify all Member States that choose the indicative scale of contributions by 15 October of the preceding calendar year, of the amount, in United States currency, of its indicative scale of contributions based on contributions for each year of the biennium. Any Member State which decides not to use the indicative scale of contributions will notify the Executive Director by this date of the basis it intends to use for its contributions, taking into account paragraph 18 above. In either case, each Member State will, prior to 1 January of each year, inform the Executive Director of UNEP of the contribution it intends to make that year and of the projected timing of that contribution. Contributions should be made by 1 January of each calendar year, or as soon as possible thereafter, recognizing that there are differences in the budget cycles of Member States. All contributions should be paid in convertible currencies into a bank account identified in the notification of the Executive Director.

21. In addition to the contributions identified in paragraph 20 above, the resources available to UNEP for implementation of its programme of work will also consist of additional voluntary contributions which may be made by Member States or by major groups; other voluntary contributions, including contributions to support the participation of the representatives of developing countries, in particular the least developed and the small island developing States amongst them, as well as representatives from countries with economies in transition, in the Governing Council/Global Ministerial Environment Forum; the uncommitted balance of appropriations from previous financial periods; and miscellaneous income.

22. All Member States are encouraged to make prompt payment of their contributions to the Environment Fund, and a balance should be sought between earmarked and non-earmarked contributions.

23. The Executive Director of UNEP will submit to the Governing Council/Global Ministerial Environment Forum at its special session in the year 2004, a report on the implementation of paragraphs 15 to 22 above. The Governing Council/Global Ministerial Environment Forum will review the effectiveness of the system and take a decision, as appropriate.

[...]

Annex II**Indicative scale of contributions by countries to UNEP's Environment Fund in 2003****(UNEP's budget of US\$120 million for the biennium 2002-2003)**

Country	Indicative scale of assessment 2003	Indicative scale of contributions to be paid in 2003	UN scale of assessment 2003	Contributions in 2000	Contributions in 2001	Contributions and Pledges in 2002	Highest contribution paid	Year
	%	US\$		US\$	US\$	US\$	US\$	
1 Afghanistan	0.00100	600	0.00900	-	-	-	-	-
2 Albania	0.00200	1,200	0.00300	-	-	-	-	-
3 Algeria	0.03500	21,000	0.07000	-	10,000	10,000	25,000	1996
4 Andorra	0.01200	7,200	0.00400	6,700	7,100	7,810	7,810	2002
5 Angola	0.00200	1,200	0.00200	-	-	-	5,975	1999
6 Antigua & Barbuda	0.00200	1,200	0.00200	-	-	-	-	-
7 Argentina	0.01000	6,000	1.14900	70,000	70,000	6,000*	73,465	1991
8 Armenia	0.00200	1,200	0.00200	-	-	-	-	-
9 Australia	1.40000	840,000	1.62700	299,400	309,300	345,280	813,780	1996
10 Austria	0.87000	522,000	0.94700	370,065	298,576	316,677	601,686	1995
11 Azerbaijan	0.00300	1,800	0.00400	-	-	1,800*	-	-
12 Bahamas	0.00500	3,000	0.01200	-	-	-	500	1988
13 Bahrain	0.01700	10,200	0.01800	2,750	2,750	-	5,250	1997
14 Bangladesh	0.00425	2,550	0.01000	2,550	2,550	2,550	5,224	1985
15 Barbados	0.00800	4,800	0.00900	-	-	-	6,000	1997
16 Belarus	0.01883	11,298	0.01900	2,900	11,300	3,720	29,585	1990
17 Belgium	1.12900	677,400	1.12900	504,980	505,000	505,000*	594,458	1991
18 Belize	0.00100	600	0.00100	-	-	-	-	-
19 Benin	0.00833	4,998	0.00200	5,000	4,975	-	6,000	1999
20 Bhutan	0.00205	1,230	0.00100	-	1,119	1,235	1,235	2002
21 Bolivia	0.00340	2,040	0.00800	2,000	-	-	2,000	2000

	Country	Indicative scale of assessment 2003	Indicative scale of contributions to be paid in 2003		UN scale of assessment 2003	Contributions in 2000	Contributions in 2001	Contributions and Pledges in 2002	Highest contribution paid	Year
		%	US\$		%	US\$	US\$	US\$	US\$	
22	Bosnia & Herzegovina	0.00300	1,800		0.00400	-	-	-	-	-
23	Botswana	0.01000	6,000		0.01000	-	-	6,000*	11,727	1999
24	Brazil	0.55000	330,000		2.39000	5,253	3,000	-	118,039	1996
25	Brunei Darussalam	0.02100	12,600		0.03300	-	9,980	9,980	12,000	1998
26	Bulgaria	0.01000	6,000		0.01300	-	-	-	20,288	1990
27	Burkina Faso	0.00200	1,200		0.00200	-	-	-	8,850	1996
28	Burundi	0.00100	600		0.00100	-	-	-	6,195	1991
29	Cambodia	0.00245	1,470		0.00200	-	1,470	-	1,470	2001
30	Cameroon	0.00800	4,800		0.00900	4,745	-	5,400*	19,667	1987
31	Canada	2.55800	1,534,800		2.55800	754,532	711,514	1,725,626	2,500,000	1977
32	Cape Verde	0.00100	600		0.00100	-	-	-	2,000	1979
33	Central African Rep.	0.00100	600		0.00100	-	-	600*	2,000	1979
34	Chad	0.00100	600		0.00100	-	-	-	2,052	1988
35	Chile	0.12000	72,000		0.21200	-	11,800	10,000*	11,800	2001
36	China	0.40000	240,000		1.53200	180,000	180,000	187,274	333,333	1979
37	Colombia	0.07000	42,000		0.20100	37,000	35,810	37,000*	37,303	1998
38	Comoros	0.00100	600		0.00100	-	-	-	-	-
39	Congo	0.00100	600		0.00100	-	-	-	14,638	1983
40	Costa Rica	0.01500	9,000		0.02000	-	-	-	9,600	1996
41	Cote d'Ivoire	0.00600	3,600		0.00900	6,094	-	3,600*	45,675	1981
42	Croatia	0.03000	18,000		0.03900	-	2,000	-	2,000	2001
43	Cuba	0.01000	6,000		0.03000	6,000	6,000	6,000	24,540	1974
44	Cyprus	0.02500	15,000		0.03800	-	5,851	-	6,000	1996
45	Czech Rep.	0.20800	124,800		0.20300	126,666	124,642	163,383	6,000	1996
46	Dem. People's Rep. Korea	0.00300	1,800		0.00900	-	-	-	-	-
47	Democratic Rep. of Congo	0.00200	1,200		0.00400	-	-	-	-	-

Country	Indicative scale of assessment 2003	Indicative scale of contributions to be paid in 2003	UN scale of assessment 2003	Contributions in 2000	Contributions in 2001	Contributions and Pledges in 2002	Highest contribution paid	Year
	%	US\$	%	US\$	US\$	US\$	US\$	
48 Denmark	3.10000	1,860,000	0.74900	1,617,744	1,758,666	2,055,567	2,552,011	1995
49 Djibouti	0.00100	600	0.00100	-	-	-	-	-
50 Dominica	0.00100	600	0.00100	-	-	-	-	-
51 Dominican Rep.	0.00800	4,800	0.02300	-	-	-	-	-
52 Ecuador	0.00500	3,000	0.02500	-	-	-	5,000	1983
53 Egypt	0.01600	9,600	0.08100	-	-	9,000*	24,340	1985
54 El Salvador	0.00400	2,400	0.01800	-	-	-	-	-
55 Equatorial Guinea	0.00100	600	0.00100	-	-	-	-	-
56 Eritrea	0.00100	600	0.00100	-	-	-	-	-
57 Estonia	0.01000	6,000	0.01000	-	-	-	21,500	1999
58 Ethiopia	0.01000	6,000	0.00400	6,000	8,322	-	8,322	2001
59 Fiji	0.00770	4,620	0.00400	-	3,500	4,622	4,622	2002
60 Finland	4.70000	2,820,000	0.52200	2,547,112	2,520,385	2,820,689	3,938,648	1992
61 France	5.20000	3,120,000	6.46600	822,584	885,000	2,006,800	2,052,174	1991
62 Gabon	0.00500	3,000	0.01400	-	-	-	8,775	1982
63 Gambia	0.00100	600	0.00100	-	-	1,000*	5,000	1993
64 Georgia	0.00400	2,400	0.00500	-	-	-	-	-
65 Germany	9.76900	5,861,400	9.76900	4,900,752	4,828,884	5,009,716	6,789,250	1995
66 Ghana	0.00250	1,500	0.00500	5,000	5,000	1,480	19,723	1975
67 Greece	0.37000	222,000	0.53900	25,000	25,000	25,000	25,000	2002
68 Grenada	0.00100	600	0.00100	-	-	-	-	-
69 Guatemala	0.00600	3,600	0.02700	-	-	-	-	-
70 Guinea	0.00300	1,800	0.00300	-	-	1,800	4,974	1989
71 Guinea - Bissau	0.00100	600	0.00100	-	-	-	4,974	1989
72 Guyana	0.00100	600	0.00100	-	-	-	10,000	1992
73 Haiti	0.00200	1,200	0.00200	-	-	-	24,675	1994

Country	Indicative scale of assessment 2003	Indicative scale of contributions to be paid in 2003	UN scale of assessment 2003	Contributions in 2000	Contributions in 2001	Contributions and Pledges in 2002	Highest contribution paid	Year
	%	US\$		US\$	US\$	US\$	US\$	
74	Holy See **	0.00100	600	(0.00100)	-	-	-	-
75	Honduras	0.00250	1,500	0.00500	-	-	-	-
76	Hungary	0.11700	70,200	0.12000	70,000	70,000	70,000	115,648 1998
77	Iceland	0.03300	19,800	0.03300	-	16,000	20,000	20,000 2002
78	India	0.17200	103,200	0.34100	99,038	49,950	-	104,004 1985
79	Indonesia	0.05000	30,000	0.20000	15,000	15,000	15,000	15,000 2002
80	Iran	0.09700	58,200	0.27200	-	30,000	30,000	100,000 1977
81	Iraq	0.03000	18,000	0.13600	-	-	-	75,013 1978
82	Ireland	0.45300	271,800	0.29400	107,750	231,000	271,986	271,986 2002
83	Israel	0.25000	150,000	0.41500	10,000	20,000	22,000	22,000 2002
84	Italy	4.40000	2,640,000	5.06475	483,922	1,664,978	2,074,600	2,380,952 1991
85	Jamaica	0.00719	4,314	0.00400	4,315	4,315	4,315	7,500 1995
86	Japan	10.30000	6,180,000	19.51575	4,545,867	4,545,867	4,100,000	9,130,000 1995
87	Jordan	0.00800	4,800	0.00800	-	-	-	10,000 1996
88	Kazakhstan	0.02000	12,000	0.02800	-	-	-	- -
89	Kenya	0.00800	4,800	0.00800	-	-	-	97,419 1987
90	Kiribati	0.00100	600	0.00100	-	-	-	- -
91	Kuwait	0.36700	220,200	0.14700	220,900	200,000	200,000*	290,490 1998
92	Kyrgyzstan	0.00100	600	0.00100	-	-	-	- -
93	Lao	0.00340	2,040	0.00100	2,000	2,000	2,000*	6,000 1984
94	Latvia	0.01000	6,000	0.01000	-	-	5,900	5,900 2002
95	Lebanon	0.00800	4,800	0.01200	-	-	-	5,000 1996
96	Lesotho	0.01000	6,000	0.00100	10,000	-	10,000*	10,000 2000
97	Liberia	0.00100	600	0.00100	-	-	-	1,500 1981
98	Libya	0.05000	30,000	0.06700	-	-	-	792,219 1982
99	Liechtenstein	0.00600	3,600	0.00600	-	-	3,500	3,500 2002

	Country	Indicative scale of assessment 2003	Indicative scale of contributions to be paid in 2003		UN scale of assessment 2003	Contributions in 2000	Contributions in 2001	Contributions and Pledges in 2002	Highest contribution paid	Year
		%	US\$		%	US\$	US\$	US\$	US\$	
100	Lithuania	0.01200	7,200		0.01700	-	-	-	-	-
101	Luxembourg	0.08000	48,000		0.08000	23,327	41,785	73,178	73,178	2002
102	Madagascar	0.00790	4,740		0.00300	5,017	-	4,740	17,849	1999
103	Malawi	0.00100	600		0.00200	-	-	-	3,614	1986
104	Malaysia	0.10000	60,000		0.23500	30,000	30,000	-	30,000	2001
105	Maldives	0.00250	1,500		0.00100	1,500	1,500	1,500	1,500	2002
106	Mali	0.00200	1,200		0.00200	-	-	-	-	-
107	Malta	0.01500	9,000		0.01500	-	-	-	6,000	1997
108	Marshall Islands	0.00100	600		0.00100	-	-	-	1,516	1998
109	Mauritania	0.00100	600		0.00100	-	-	-	4,040	1980
110	Mauritius	0.00800	4,800		0.01100	-	4,616	4,616	5,000	1999
111	Mexico	0.29000	174,000		1.08600	100,000	100,000	100,000	200,000	1976
112	Micronesia	0.00100	600		0.00100	-	-	-	-	-
113	Monaco	0.01700	10,200		0.00400	10,000	10,000	10,000*	10,582	1999
114	Mongolia	0.00166	996		0.00100	1,000	1,000	1,000	1,087	1988
115	Morocco	0.02200	13,200		0.04400	10,000	10,000	7,975	20,000	1989
116	Mozambique	0.00100	600		0.00100	-	-	600	10,000	1997
117	Myanmar	0.00300	1,800		0.01000	1,000	1,000	-	2,000	1997
118	Namibia	0.00683	4,098		0.00700	-	4,100	-	4,100	2001
119	Nauru	0.00100	600		0.00100	-	-	-	-	-
120	Nepal	0.00300	1,800		0.00400	4,000	4,000	-	4,725	1990
121	Netherlands	7.80000	4,680,000		1.73800	2,528,065	4,485,436	4,682,104	4,682,104	2002
122	New Zealand	0.24300	145,800		0.24100	54,305	56,265	145,800	145,800	2002
123	Nicaragua	0.00100	600		0.00100	-	-	-	5,000	1995
124	Niger	0.00100	600		0.00100	-	-	600*	-	-
125	Nigeria	0.03400	20,400		0.06800	-	-	-	100,000	1999

	Country	Indicative scale of assessment 2003	Indicative scale of contributions to be paid in 2003		UN scale of assessment 2003	Contributions in 2000	Contributions in 2001	Contributions and Pledges in 2002	Highest contribution paid	Year
		%	US\$		%	US\$	US\$	US\$	US\$	
126	Norway	<b>3.30000</b>	<b>1,980,000</b>		0.64600	1,777,567	1,712,720	1,983,340	3,000,171	1991
127	Oman	<b>0.05000</b>	<b>30,000</b>		0.06100	10,000	-	-	15,000	1985
128	Pakistan	<b>0.02500</b>	<b>15,000</b>		0.06100	5,000	4,975	5,000	50,005	1996
129	Palau	<b>0.00100</b>	<b>600</b>		0.00100	-	-	-	-	-
130	Panama	<b>0.01700</b>	<b>10,200</b>		0.01800	10,000	10,000	-	10,000	2001
131	Papua New Guinea	<b>0.00300</b>	<b>1,800</b>		0.00600	-	-	-	25,388	1989
132	Paraguay	<b>0.00785</b>	<b>4,710</b>		0.01600	-	-	-	-	-
133	Peru	<b>0.02000</b>	<b>12,000</b>		0.11800	-	-	-	2,000	1981
134	Philippines	<b>0.03000</b>	<b>18,000</b>		0.10000	10,100	-	9,340*	2,000	1981
135	Poland	<b>0.25000</b>	<b>150,000</b>		0.37800	100,000	37,000	-	102,719	1992
136	Portugal	<b>0.30000</b>	<b>180,000</b>		0.46200	-	-	60,000	70,000	1999
137	Qatar	<b>0.03000</b>	<b>18,000</b>		0.03400	-	-	-	20,000	1993
138	Republic of Korea	<b>1.49000</b>	<b>894,000</b>		1.85100	150,000	180,000	100,000	180,000	2001
139	Republic of Moldova	<b>0.00200</b>	<b>1,200</b>		0.00200	-	-	-	-	-
140	Romania	<b>0.03300</b>	<b>19,800</b>		0.05800	15,000	15,000	-	15,000	2001
141	Russian Federation	<b>1.00000</b>	<b>600,000</b>		1.20000	350,000	500,000	500,000	5,988,400	1990
142	Rwanda	<b>0.00100</b>	<b>600</b>		0.00100	-	-	-	2,133	1977
143	Saint Kitts&Nevis	<b>0.00100</b>	<b>600</b>		0.00100	-	-	600	10,000	1995
144	Saint Lucia	<b>0.00200</b>	<b>1,200</b>		0.00200	-	-	-	10,000	1995
145	Saint Vincent & Grenadines	<b>0.00100</b>	<b>600</b>		0.00100	-	-	-	-	-
146	Samoa	<b>0.00100</b>	<b>600</b>		0.00100	-	-	-	-	-
147	San Marino	<b>0.00200</b>	<b>1,200</b>		0.00200	-	-	-	-	-
148	Sao Tome & Principe	<b>0.00100</b>	<b>600</b>		0.00100	-	-	-	-	-
149	Saudi Arabia	<b>0.42442</b>	<b>254,652</b>		0.55400	-	-	151,303	500,000	1986
150	Senegal	<b>0.00300</b>	<b>1,800</b>		0.00500	-	-	-	6,376	1996
151	Seychelles	<b>0.00200</b>	<b>1,200</b>		0.00200	-	-	1,200*	5,265	1997

	Country	Indicative scale of assessment 2003	Indicative scale of contributions to be paid in 2003		UN scale of assessment 2003	Contributions in 2000	Contributions in 2001	Contributions and Pledges in 2002	Highest contribution paid	Year
		%	US\$		%	US\$	US\$	US\$	US\$	
152	Sierra Leone	0.00416	2,496		0.00100	-	2,500	-	2,500	2001
153	Singapore	0.11500	69,000		0.39300	15,000	15,000	15,000	15,000	2002
154	Slovakia	0.03300	19,800		0.04300	10,000	10,000	-	32,823	1988
155	Slovenia	0.08100	48,600		0.08100	-	-	48,553	48,553	2002
156	Solomon Islands	0.00100	600		0.00100	-	-	-	-	-
157	Somalia	0.00100	600		0.00100	-	-	-	-	-
158	South Africa	0.09000	54,000		0.40800	-	-	10,000	200,000	1995
159	Spain	2.00000	1,200,000		2.51875	563,013	535,021	596,625	899,589	1995
160	Sri Lanka	0.00850	5,100		0.01600	5,000	-	-	5,000	2000
161	Sudan	0.00200	1,200		0.00600	-	-	-	-	-
162	Suriname	0.00200	1,200		0.00200	-	-	-	-	-
163	Swaziland	0.01000	6,000		0.00200	10,000	6,000	-	10,000	2000
164	Sweden	3.70000	2,220,000		1.02675	1,477,054	2,093,079	2,210,311	4,092,841	1993
165	Switzerland	3.76500	2,259,000		(1.27400)	2,088,236	2,259,866	2,161,538	3,178,878	1996
166	Syria	0.01700	10,200		0.08000	-	-	-	12,721	1984
167	Tajikistan	0.01825	10,950		0.00100	-	4,000	10,950	10,950	2002
168	Thailand	0.10500	63,000		0.29400	15,000	14,760	18,594	18,594	2002
169	The FYR Macedonia	0.00400	2,400		0.00600	-	-	-	-	-
170	Togo	0.00100	600		0.00100	-	-	600*	930	1978
171	Tonga	0.00100	600		0.00100	-	-	-	-	-
172	Trinidad & Tobago	0.01700	10,200		0.01600	-	10,387	-	12,705	1995
173	Tunisia	0.03000	18,000		0.03000	-	-	-	20,000	1993
174	Turkey	0.29000	174,000		0.44000	100,000	100,000	100,000	100,000	2002
175	Turkmenistan	0.00200	1,200		0.00300	-	-	-	-	-
176	Tuvalu	0.00100	600		0.00100	-	-	-	-	-
177	Uganda	0.00350	2,100		0.00500	2,000	2,100	-	2,100	2001

	Country	Indicative scale of assessment 2003	Indicative scale of contributions to be paid in 2003		UN scale of assessment 2003	Contributions in 2000	Contributions in 2001	Contributions and Pledges in 2002	Highest contribution paid	Year
		%	US\$		%	US\$	US\$	US\$	US\$	
178	Ukraine	0.04528	27,168		0.05300	-	-	-	48,632	1980
179	United Arab Emirates	0.10000	60,000		0.20200	-	-	-	-	
180	United Kingdom	10.70000	6,420,000		5.53600	6,304,200	5,955,600	6,514,234	8,253,000	1992
181	United Rep. Tanzania	0.00200	1,200		0.00400	-	-	-	8,495	1992
182	United States of America ***	15.85000	9,510,000		22.00000	7,235,000	6,500,000	6,500,000	21,000,000	1994
183	Uruguay	0.02500	15,000		0.08000	-	-	-	14,000	1986
184	Uzbekistan	0.00750	4,500		0.01100	-	-	-	493	1998
185	Vanuatu	0.00100	600		0.00100	-	-	-	685	1997
186	Venezuela	0.04765	28,590		0.20800	-	-	-	100,000	1984
187	Viet Nam	0.00840	5,040		0.01600	3,000	5,000	5,000	5,000	2002
188	Yemen	0.00600	3,600		0.00600	-	-	5,436	5,436	2002
189	Yugoslavia	0.01500	9,000		0.02000	-	-	-	36,011	1977
190	Zambia	0.00200	1,200		0.00200	-	-	-	24,609	1982
191	Zimbabwe	0.00300	1,800		0.00800	-	-	-	18,200	1998
<b>TOTALS:</b>		<b>100.00000</b>	<b>60,000,000</b>		<b>100.00000</b>	<b>40,903,003</b>	<b>43,921,314</b>	<b>48,159,647</b>		

\* Pledges

\*\* Not a member of the United Nations.

\*\*\* Country decided not to be part of the indicative scale exercise.

(0%) Not a part of total 100 per cent.

## Annex III

**Indicative scale of contributions by countries to UNEP/s Environment fund in 2003****(UNEP's budget of \$120 million for the biennium 2002-2003)**

Country	Indicative scale of assessment 2003	Indicative scale of contributions to be paid in 2003		UN scale of assessment 2003	Contributions in 2000	Contributions in 2001	Contributions and Pledges in 2002	Highest contribution paid	Year
	%	US\$		%	US\$	US\$	US\$	US\$	
<b>Countries invited to pay 1 per cent or more</b>									
1 United States of America ***	15.85000	9,510,000		22.00000	7,235,000	6,500,000	6,500,000	21,000,000	1994
2 United Kingdom	10.70000	6,420,000		5.53600	6,304,200	5,955,600	6,514,234	8,253,000	1992
3 Japan	10.30000	6,180,000		19.51575	4,545,867	4,545,867	4,100,000	9,130,000	1995
4 Germany	9.76900	5,861,400		9.76900	4,900,752	4,828,884	5,009,716	6,789,250	1995
5 Netherlands	7.80000	4,680,000		1.73800	2,528,065	4,485,436	4,682,104	4,682,104	2002
6 France	5.20000	3,120,000		6.46600	822,584	885,000	2,006,800	2,052,174	1991
7 Finland	4.70000	2,820,000		0.52200	2,547,112	2,520,385	2,820,689	3,938,648	1992
8 Italy	4.40000	2,640,000		5.06475	483,922	1,664,978	2,074,600	2,380,952	1991
9 Switzerland	3.76500	2,259,000		(1.27400)	2,088,236	2,259,866	2,161,538	3,178,878	1996
10 Sweden	3.70000	2,220,000		1.02675	1,477,054	2,093,079	2,210,311	4,092,841	1993
11 Norway	3.30000	1,980,000		0.64600	1,777,567	1,712,720	1,983,340	3,000,171	1991
12 Denmark	3.10000	1,860,000		0.74900	1,617,744	1,758,666	2,055,567	2,552,011	1995
13 Canada	2.55800	1,534,800		2.55800	754,532	711,514	1,725,626	2,500,000	1977
14 Spain	2.00000	1,200,000		2.51875	563,013	535,021	596,625	899,589	1995
15 Republic of Korea	1.49000	894,000		1.85100	150,000	180,000	100,000	180,000	2001
16 Australia	1.40000	840,000		1.62700	299,400	309,300	345,280	813,780	1996
17 Belgium	1.12900	677,400		1.12900	504,980	505,000	505,000*	594,458	1991
18 Russian Federation	1.00000	600,000		1.20000	350,000	500,000	500,000	5,988,400	1990

Country	Indicative scale of assessment 2003	Indicative scale of contributions to be paid in 2003		UN scale of assessment 2003	Contributions in 2000	Contributions in 2001	Contributions and Pledges in 2002	Highest contribution paid	Year
	%	US\$		%	US\$	US\$	US\$	US\$	
<b>Countries invited to pay between 0.1 per cent and 0.99999 per cent</b>									
1 Austria	0.87000	522,000		0.94700	370,065	298,576	316,677	601,686	1995
2 Brazil	0.55000	330,000		2.39000	5,253	3,000	-	118,039	1996
3 Ireland	0.45300	271,800		0.29400	107,750	231,000	271,986	271,986	2002
4 Saudi Arabia	0.42442	254,652		0.55400	-	-	151,303	500,000	1986
5 China	0.40000	240,000		1.53200	180,000	180,000	187,274	333,333	1979
6 Greece	0.37000	222,000		0.53900	25,000	25,000	25,000	25,000	2002
7 Kuwait	0.36700	220,200		0.14700	220,900	200,000	200,000*	290,490	1998
8 Portugal	0.30000	180,000		0.46200	-	-	60,000	70,000	1999
9 Mexico	0.29000	174,000		1.08600	100,000	100,000	100,000	200,000	1976
10 Turkey	0.29000	174,000		0.44000	100,000	100,000	100,000	100,000	2002
11 Israel	0.25000	150,000		0.41500	10,000	20,000	22,000	22,000	2002
12 Poland	0.25000	150,000		0.37800	100,000	37,000	-	102,719	1992
13 New Zealand	0.24300	145,800		0.24100	54,305	56,265	145,800	145,800	2002
14 Czech Rep.	0.20800	124,800		0.20300	126,666	124,642	163,383	6,000	1996
15 India	0.17200	103,200		0.34100	99,038	49,950	-	104,004	1985
16 Chile	0.12000	72,000		0.21200	-	11,800	10,000*	11,800	2001
17 Hungary	0.11700	70,200		0.12000	70,000	70,000	70,000	115,648	1998
18 Singapore	0.11500	69,000		0.39300	15,000	15,000	15,000	15,000	2002
19 Thailand	0.10500	63,000		0.29400	15,000	14,760	18,594	18,594	2002
20 Malaysia	0.10000	60,000		0.23500	30,000	30,000	-	30,000	2001
21 United Arab Emirates	0.10000	60,000		0.20200	-	-	-	-	-
<b>Countries invited to pay between 0.01 per cent and 0.09999 per cent</b>									
1 Iran	0.09700	58,200		0.27200	-	30,000	30,000	100,000	1977

Country		Indicative scale of assessment 2003	Indicative scale of contributions to be paid in 2003		UN scale of assessment 2003	Contributions in 2000	Contributions in 2001	Contributions and Pledges in 2002	Highest contribution paid	Year
		%	US\$		%	US\$	US\$	US\$	US\$	
2	South Africa	0.09000	54,000		0.40800	-	-	10,000	200,000	1995
3	Slovenia	0.08100	48,600		0.08100	-	-	48,553	48,553	2002
4	Luxembourg	0.08000	48,000		0.08000	23,327	41,785	73,178	73,178	2002
5	Colombia	0.07000	42,000		0.20100	37,000	35,810	37,000*	37,303	1998
6	Indonesia	0.05000	30,000		0.20000	15,000	15,000	15,000	15,000	2002
7	Libya	0.05000	30,000		0.06700	-	-	-	792,219	1982
8	Oman	0.05000	30,000		0.06100	10,000	-	-	15,000	1985
9	Venezuela	0.04765	28,590		0.20800	-	-	-	100,000	1984
10	Ukraine	0.04528	27,168		0.05300	-	-	-	48,632	1980
11	Algeria	0.03500	21,000		0.07000	-	10,000	10,000	25,000	1996
12	Nigeria	0.03400	20,400		0.06800	-	-	-	100,000	1999
13	Iceland	0.03300	19,800		0.03300	-	16,000	20,000	20,000	2002
14	Romania	0.03300	19,800		0.05800	15,000	15,000	-	15,000	2001
15	Slovakia	0.03300	19,800		0.04300	10,000	10,000	-	32,823	1988
16	Croatia	0.03000	18,000		0.03900	-	2,000	-	2,000	2001
17	Iraq	0.03000	18,000		0.13600	-	-	-	75,013	1978
18	Philippines	0.03000	18,000		0.10000	10,100	-	9,340*	2,000	1981
19	Qatar	0.03000	18,000		0.03400	-	-	-	20,000	1993
20	Tunisia	0.03000	18,000		0.03000	-	-	-	20,000	1993
21	Cyprus	0.02500	15,000		0.03800	-	5,851	-	6,000	1996
22	Pakistan	0.02500	15,000		0.06100	5,000	4,975	5,000	50,005	1996
23	Uruguay	0.02500	15,000		0.08000	-	-	-	14,000	1986
24	Morocco	0.02200	13,200		0.04400	10,000	10,000	7,975	20,000	1989
25	Brunei Darussalam	0.02100	12,600		0.03300	-	9,980	9,980	12,000	1998
26	Kazakhstan	0.02000	12,000		0.02800	-	-	-	-	-

Country		Indicative scale of assessment 2003	Indicative scale of contributions to be paid in 2003		UN scale of assessment 2003	Contributions in 2000	Contributions in 2001	Contributions and Pledges in 2002	Highest contribution paid	Year
		%	US\$		%	US\$	US\$	US\$	US\$	
27	Peru	0.02000	12,000		0.11800	-	-	-	2,000	1981
28	Belarus	0.01883	11,298		0.01900	2,900	11,300	3,720	29,585	1990
29	Tajikistan	0.01825	10,950		0.00100	-	4,000	10,950	10,950	2002
30	Bahrain	0.01700	10,200		0.01800	2,750	2,750	-	5,250	1997
31	Monaco	0.01700	10,200		0.00400	10,000	10,000	10,000*	10,582	1999
32	Panama	0.01700	10,200		0.01800	10,000	10,000	-	10,000	2001
33	Syria	0.01700	10,200		0.08000	-	-	-	12,721	1984
34	Trinidad & Tobago	0.01700	10,200		0.01600	-	10,387	-	12,705	1995
35	Egypt	0.01600	9,600		0.08100	-	-	9,000*	24,340	1985
36	Costa Rica	0.01500	9,000		0.02000	-	-	-	9,600	1996
37	Malta	0.01500	9,000		0.01500	-	-	-	6,000	1997
38	Yugoslavia	0.01500	9,000		0.02000	-	-	-	36,011	1977
39	Andorra	0.01200	7,200		0.00400	6,700	7,100	7,810	7,810	2002
40	Lithuania	0.01200	7,200		0.01700	-	-	-	-	-
41	Argentina	0.01000	6,000		1.14900	70,000	70,000	6,000*	73,465	1991
42	Botswana	0.01000	6,000		0.01000	-	-	6,000*	11,727	1999
43	Bulgaria	0.01000	6,000		0.01300	-	-	-	20,288	1990
44	Cuba	0.01000	6,000		0.03000	6,000	6,000	6,000	24,540	1974
45	Estonia	0.01000	6,000		0.01000	-	-	-	21,500	1999
46	Ethiopia	0.01000	6,000		0.00400	6,000	8,322	-	8,322	2001
47	Latvia	0.01000	6,000		0.01000	-	-	5,900	5,900	2002
48	Lesotho	0.01000	6,000		0.00100	10,000	-	10,000*	10,000	2000
49	Swaziland	0.01000	6,000		0.00200	10,000	6,000	-	10,000	2000
<b>Countries invited to pay between 0.001 per cent and 0.00999 per cent</b>										

Country		Indicative scale of assessment 2003	Indicative scale of contributions to be paid in 2003		UN scale of assessment 2003	Contributions in 2000	Contributions in 2001	Contributions and Pledges in 2002	Highest contribution paid	Year
		%	US\$		%	US\$	US\$	US\$	US\$	
1	Sri Lanka	0.00850	5,100		0.01600	5,000	-	-	5,000	2000
2	Viet Nam	0.00840	5,040		0.01600	3,000	5,000	5,000	5,000	2002
3	Benin	0.00833	4,998		0.00200	5,000	4,975	-	6,000	1999
4	Barbados	0.00800	4,800		0.00900	-	-	-	6,000	1997
5	Cameroon	0.00800	4,800		0.00900	4,745	-	5,400*	19,667	1987
6	Dominican Rep.	0.00800	4,800		0.02300	-	-	-	-	-
7	Jordan	0.00800	4,800		0.00800	-	-	-	10,000	1996
8	Kenya	0.00800	4,800		0.00800	-	-	-	97,419	1987
9	Lebanon	0.00800	4,800		0.01200	-	-	-	5,000	1996
10	Mauritius	0.00800	4,800		0.01100	-	4,616	4,616	5,000	1999
11	Madagascar	0.00790	4,740		0.00300	5,017	-	4,740	17,849	1999
12	Paraguay	0.00785	4,710		0.01600	-	-	-	-	-
13	Fiji	0.00770	4,620		0.00400	-	3,500	4,622	4,622	2002
14	Uzbekistan	0.00750	4,500		0.01100	-	-	-	493	1998
15	Jamaica	0.00719	4,314		0.00400	4,315	4,315	4,315	7,500	1995
16	Namibia	0.00683	4,098		0.00700	-	4,100	-	4,100	2001
17	Cote d'Ivoire	0.00600	3,600		0.00900	6,094	-	3,600*	45,675	1981
18	Guatemala	0.00600	3,600		0.02700	-	-	-	-	-
19	Liechtenstein	0.00600	3,600		0.00600	-	-	3,500	3,500	2002
20	Yemen	0.00600	3,600		0.00600	-	-	5,436	5,436	2002
21	Bahamas	0.00500	3,000		0.01200	-	-	-	500	1988
22	Ecuador	0.00500	3,000		0.02500	-	-	-	5,000	1983
23	Gabon	0.00500	3,000		0.01400	-	-	-	8,775	1982
24	Bangladesh	0.00425	2,550		0.01000	2,550	2,550	2,550	5,224	1985
25	Sierra Leone	0.00416	2,496		0.00100	-	2,500	-	2,500	2001

Country		Indicative scale of assessment 2003	Indicative scale of contributions to be paid in 2003		UN scale of assessment 2003	Contributions in 2000	Contributions in 2001	Contributions and Pledges in 2002	Highest contribution paid	Year
		%	US\$		%	US\$	US\$	US\$	US\$	
26	El Salvador	0.00400	2,400		0.01800	-	-	-	-	-
27	Georgia	0.00400	2,400		0.00500	-	-	-	-	-
28	The FYR Macedonia	0.00400	2,400		0.00600	-	-	-	-	-
29	Uganda	0.00350	2,100		0.00500	2,000	2,100	-	2,100	2001
30	Bolivia	0.00340	2,040		0.00800	2,000	-	-	2,000	2000
31	Lao	0.00340	2,040		0.00100	2,000	2,000	2,000*	6,000	1984
32	Azerbaijan	0.00300	1,800		0.00400	-	-	1,800*	-	-
33	Bosnia & Herzegovina	0.00300	1,800		0.00400	-	-	-	-	-
34	Dem. People's Rep. Korea	0.00300	1,800		0.00900	-	-	-	-	-
35	Guinea	0.00300	1,800		0.00300	-	-	1,800	4,974	1989
36	Myanmar	0.00300	1,800		0.01000	1,000	1,000	-	2,000	1997
37	Nepal	0.00300	1,800		0.00400	4,000	4,000	-	4,725	1990
38	Papua New Guinea	0.00300	1,800		0.00600	-	-	-	25,388	1989
39	Senegal	0.00300	1,800		0.00500	-	-	-	6,376	1996
40	Zimbabwe	0.00300	1,800		0.00800	-	-	-	18,200	1998
41	Ghana	0.00250	1,500		0.00500	5,000	5,000	1,480	19,723	1975
42	Honduras	0.00250	1,500		0.00500	-	-	-	-	-
43	Maldives	0.00250	1,500		0.00100	1,500	1,500	1,500	1,500	2002
44	Cambodia	0.00245	1,470		0.00200	-	1,470	-	1,470	2001
45	Bhutan	0.00205	1,230		0.00100	-	1,119	1,235	1,235	2002
46	Albania	0.00200	1,200		0.00300	-	-	-	-	-
47	Angola	0.00200	1,200		0.00200	-	-	-	5,975	1999
48	Antigua & Barbuda	0.00200	1,200		0.00200	-	-	-	-	-
49	Armenia	0.00200	1,200		0.00200	-	-	-	-	-
50	Burkina Faso	0.00200	1,200		0.00200	-	-	-	8,850	1996

Country		Indicative scale of assessment 2003	Indicative scale of contributions to be paid in 2003		UN scale of assessment 2003	Contributions in 2000	Contributions in 2001	Contributions and Pledges in 2002	Highest contribution paid	Year
		%	US\$		%	US\$	US\$	US\$	US\$	
51	Democratic Rep. of Congo	0.00200	1,200		0.00400	-	-	-	-	-
52	Haiti	0.00200	1,200		0.00200	-	-	-	24,675	1994
53	Mali	0.00200	1,200		0.00200	-	-	-	-	-
54	Republic of Moldova	0.00200	1,200		0.00200	-	-	-	-	-
55	Saint Lucia	0.00200	1,200		0.00200	-	-	-	10,000	1995
56	San Marino	0.00200	1,200		0.00200	-	-	-	-	-
57	Seychelles	0.00200	1,200		0.00200	-	-	1,200*	5,265	1997
58	Sudan	0.00200	1,200		0.00600	-	-	-	-	-
59	Suriname	0.00200	1,200		0.00200	-	-	-	-	-
60	Turkmenistan	0.00200	1,200		0.00300	-	-	-	-	-
61	United Rep. Tanzania	0.00200	1,200		0.00400	-	-	-	8,495	1992
62	Zambia	0.00200	1,200		0.00200	-	-	-	24,609	1982
63	Mongolia	0.00166	996		0.00100	1,000	1,000	1,000	1,087	1988
<b>Countries invited to contribute 0.001per cent</b>										
1	Afghanistan	0.00100	600		0.00900	-	-	-	-	-
2	Belize	0.00100	600		0.00100	-	-	-	-	-
3	Burundi	0.00100	600		0.00100	-	-	-	6,195	1991
4	Cape Verde	0.00100	600		0.00100	-	-	-	2,000	1979
5	Central African Rep.	0.00100	600		0.00100	-	-	600*	2,000	1979
6	Chad	0.00100	600		0.00100	-	-	-	2,052	1988
7	Comoros	0.00100	600		0.00100	-	-	-	-	-
8	Congo	0.00100	600		0.00100	-	-	-	14,638	1983
9	Djibouti	0.00100	600		0.00100	-	-	-	-	-

Country		Indicative scale of assessment 2003	Indicative scale of contributions to be paid in 2003		UN scale of assessment 2003	Contributions in 2000	Contributions in 2001	Contributions and Pledges in 2002	Highest contribution paid	Year
		%	US\$		%	US\$	US\$	US\$	US\$	
10	Dominica	0.00100	600		0.00100	-	-	-	-	-
11	Equatorial Guinea	0.00100	600		0.00100	-	-	-	-	-
12	Eritrea	0.00100	600		0.00100	-	-	-	-	-
13	Gambia	0.00100	600		0.00100	-	-	1,000*	5,000	1993
14	Grenada	0.00100	600		0.00100	-	-	-	-	-
15	Guinea - Bissau	0.00100	600		0.00100	-	-	-	4,974	1989
16	Guyana	0.00100	600		0.00100	-	-	-	10,000	1992
17	Holy See **	0.00100	600		(0.00100)	-	-	-	-	-
18	Kiribati	0.00100	600		0.00100	-	-	-	-	-
19	Kyrgyzstan	0.00100	600		0.00100	-	-	-	-	-
20	Liberia	0.00100	600		0.00100	-	-	-	1,500	1981
21	Malawi	0.00100	600		0.00200	-	-	-	3,614	1986
22	Marshall Islands	0.00100	600		0.00100	-	-	-	1,516	1998
23	Mauritania	0.00100	600		0.00100	-	-	-	4,040	1980
24	Micronesia	0.00100	600		0.00100	-	-	-	-	-
25	Mozambique	0.00100	600		0.00100	-	-	600	10,000	1997
26	Nauru	0.00100	600		0.00100	-	-	-	-	-
27	Nicaragua	0.00100	600		0.00100	-	-	-	5,000	1995
28	Niger	0.00100	600		0.00100	-	-	600*	-	-
29	Palau	0.00100	600		0.00100	-	-	-	-	-
30	Rwanda	0.00100	600		0.00100	-	-	-	2,133	1977
31	Saint Kitts & Nevis	0.00100	600		0.00100	-	-	600	10,000	1995
32	Saint Vincent & Grenadines	0.00100	600		0.00100	-	-	-	-	-
33	Samoa	0.00100	600		0.00100	-	-	-	-	-
34	Sao Tome & Principe	0.00100	600		0.00100	-	-	-	-	-

Country		Indicative scale of assessment 2003	Indicative scale of contributions to be paid in 2003	UN scale of assessment 2003	Contributions in 2000	Contributions in 2001	Contributions and Pledges in 2002	Highest contribution paid	Year
		%	US\$		US\$	US\$	US\$	US\$	
35	Solomon Islands	0.00100	600	0.00100	-	-	-	-	-
36	Somalia	0.00100	600	0.00100	-	-	-	-	-
37	Togo	0.00100	600	0.00100	-	-	600*	930	1978
38	Tonga	0.00100	600	0.00100	-	-	-	-	-
39	Tuvalu	0.00100	600	0.00100	-	-	-	-	-
40	Vanuatu	0.00100	600	0.00100	-	-	-	685	1997
<b>TOTALS:</b>		<b>100.00000</b>	<b>60,000,000</b>	<b>100.00000</b>	<b>40,903,003</b>	<b>43,921,314</b>	<b>48,159,647</b>		

\* Pledges

\*\* Not a member of the United Nations.

\*\*\* Country decided not to be part of the indicative scale exercise.

(0%) Not a part of total 100 per cent.

-----